

CONSTRUCTION MONITORING REPORT Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Project



☐ Weekly ☐ Storm Event ☐ Other Date: 4-1-2025 Time: 12:00pm-2:45pm	Project Name:
Inspector name(s), title(s), and qualifications: Gabriella Suazo (SWCA), Compliance Monitor, QCIS, QPSWPPP, EPA CGP Certified	Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability
Others present/affiliation(s): N/A	Project
Precipitation/Weather (since last inspection): Mixed, 20s-60s	Project Location:
Weather conditions (time of inspection & future outlook): Partly cloudy, 40s	Sudbury, Hudson, Stow, and Marlborough, MA
Inspection Location Description (include segment # and stationing): Segments 1-6 & MHs #1-4 on Wilkins and Forest Ave (Hudson)	USEPA#:
*Storm event info (approx):Start date/time:3/31 @11:50pm Duration:3hrs Amount of rainfall (inches):0.59"	MAR1003UW
Summary of Activities/Locations Inspected (include segment # and stationing): Eversource conducting drone survey in segment 6. ET&L placing stakes in segment 5. All E&S controls	n in Hudoon increated
Eversource conducting drone survey in segment 6. ET&L placing stakes in segment 5. All E&S control	s in nuason inspected.
Inspection Notes:	
Any Significant Discharges of Sediment (or other) or Non-Compliance Actions? ☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Identify presence of stockpiles and document when placed and when removed (week maximum for stockpiles)	□ Yes ⊠ No
Compliance with Previous Observations? ⊠ Yes □ No	
New Corrective Action Recommendations? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \boxtimes$ No	
New Routine Maintenance Recommendations? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \boxtimes$ No	
ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE	
Compliant with applicable permits and applicable environmental requirements? $\ oxin{tension}{\ }$ Yes $\ oxin{tension}$ No $\ \ $ If not, expla	in:
Other Comments & Observations -This SWPPP inspection covers Segments 1-6 & MHs #1-4 on Wilkins and Forest Ave (Hudson). Balanc of SWPPP inspection- Segments 7-14 and Sudbury Substation reported separately.	e Chim
-Rain gauge onsite only collected a trace amount of liquid, but multiple online precipitation data source show approximately 0.74" of rain for the area on 3/24/2025.	Authorized Signature
-Rill erosion noted at bridge 130 and near Station 347 in segment 6. Scheduled to be repaired 4/04/2025	. Date
-Multiple trees/limbs have fallen in segment 4.	4/1/2025
EVERSOURCE PROJECT MANAGER ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT PRIME CON	TRACTOR (BOND)

Name: Anthony Andrade Phone: 774-320-9823

Email: anthony.andrade@eversource.com

EVERSOURCE ENVIRONMENTAL CONTACT

Name: Matt Devlin Phone: 508-596-0147

Email: <u>matthew.devlin@eversource.com</u>

Primary Contact (Epsilon Associates)
Name: Marc Bergeron (Epsilon

Associates)

Phone: 508-212-0420 (mobile)

Email: mbergeron@epsilonassociates.com

Secondary Contact (SWCA)

Name: Rebecca Weissman (SWCA)

Phone: 339-203-7045

Email: Rebecca.weissman@swca.com

Name: Matt Stock Phone: 617-512-6766

Email: <u>mstock@bond-civilutility.com</u>

SUB CONTRACTOR (ET & L Corp.)

Name: Jake Matys
Phone: 978-844-2219
Email: jmatys@etlcorp.com

Section A – General Information (If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)				
Inspector	Information			
Inspector Name: Gabriella Suazo	Title: Compliance Monitor, QCIS, QPSWPPP			
Company Name: SWCA Environmental Consultants	Email: gabriella.suazo@swca.com			
Address: 153 Cordaville Road, Suite 130, Southborough, MA 01772	Phone Number: 774-287-3158			
Inspection	on Details			
Inspection Date: 4/1/2025	Inspection Location: This SWPPP inspection covers Segments 1-6 & MHs #1-4 on Wilkins and Forest Ave (Hudson). Balance of SWPPP inspection- Segments 7-14 and Sudbury Substation reported separately.			
Inspection Start Time: 12:00pm Inspection End Time: 2:45pm				
Current Phase of Construction: Restoration	Weather Conditions During Inspection: Cloudy, 40s			
Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP	Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ⊠ No			
If "Yes," provide the following information:				
Location of unsafe conditions:				
The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:				
Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be su	bject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)			
Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2): ☐ At least once every 7 calendar days; OR ☐ Once every 14 calendar days and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either	er:			
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hor A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or 				
Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-im ☑ Once every 7 calendar days and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either				
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hou A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or 				

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):
For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
□ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the
occurrence of either:
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
 A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
□ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of
either:
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
 A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ≥ Yes □ No
was this inspection higgered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches of more of rain within a 24-noof period: 🔼 165 🗀 110
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? Solution of the control of the contro
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☑ On-site rain gauge: 0.0" ☑ Weather station representative of site.
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? Solution of the control of the contro
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☑ On-site rain gauge: 0.0" ☑ Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.59"
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☑ On-site rain gauge: 0.0" ☑ Weather station representative of site.
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? On-site rain gauge: 0.0" Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.59" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.59"
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☑ On-site rain gauge: 0.0" ☑ Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.59" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.59" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow? ☐ On-site rain gauge
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☑ On-site rain gauge: 0.0" ☑ Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.59" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.59" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? □ Yes ⋈ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow? □ On-site rain gauge ⋈ Weather station representative of site.
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☑ On-site rain gauge: 0.0" ☑ Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.59" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.59" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow? ☐ On-site rain gauge

	Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance? ¹	If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?	Conditions Requiring Corrective Action? ^{2, 3}	Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?	Description of Conditions Observed	
Silt Fencing at Entrance pads throughout	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Silt fence was installed per the plan at construction entrances throughout. Portions of erosion controls approved and marked for removal were removed between 11/25 & 12/06/2024.	
2. Construction Entrance Pads	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Rip-rap construction entrance pads have been removed sitewide now that process material/stone base has been applied.	
3. Filter Tubes at MH#1 area at Hudson Power & Light	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Filter tubes have been removed for Hudson Substation work behind Hudson Light & Power.	
4. Silt Fencing at laydown yards (25 Stowe Ct and 17 Bonazzoli Avenue)	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	-Silt fencing has been removed from Bonazzoli laydown yardStowe Ct laydown yard has been closed out for this project, silt fence remains installed for Bond's use of this yard for another project.	
5. Straw Wattles in Hudson	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Straw wattles have been removed.	
6. Silt Fencing on ROW in Hudson	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	-Silt fence is installed and operating properly in segments 1-6Portions of erosion controls approved and marked for removal were removed between 11/25 & 12/06/2024Additional sections of silt fence were added in front of compost filter tubes on east side of bridge 130 for additional protection on 1/14/2025.	
7. Silt Fencing & Filter Tubes in Stow (segment 1 Off Chestnut St)	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Controls are operating properly.	
8. Filter Tubes in Hudson	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	-Filter tubes are installed and mostly operating properly in segments 1-5Additional filter tubes were added to Bridge 130 area on 11/15/2024Portions of erosion controls approved and marked for removal were removed between 11/25 & 12/06/2024.	

9. Inlet protection	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Roadwork completed for 2024 season, silt sack inlet protection has been removed.
10. Turbidity curtain/floating silt fencing in Hudson	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Floating silt fencing/turbidity curtain removed within segments 2/3 at Bridge 130 on 11/15/2024. Filter tubes were placed at the base of slopes adjacent to Fort Meadow Brook.
11. Silt fence & Filter Tubes along Forest Ave at MH #4	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Silt fence & filter tubes were removed at this location when road work was completed for the 2023 season.
12. Silt fence & Filter Tubes along roadwork at Wilkins St	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Silt fencing removed 11/20/24. Filter tubes left to decompose in place.
13. Rock lined swale & rock check dams within segment 1	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Rock lined swale & check dams installed and operating properly within segment 1 (Hudson & Stow).
14. Rock lined swale & rock check dams within segment 3	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Rock lined swale & check dams installed and operating properly within segment 3.
15. Rock check dams within segment 4	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Rock check dams installed and operating properly within segment 4.
16. Rock lined swale & rock check dams within segment 5	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Rock lined swale & check dams installed and operating properly within segment 5.
17. Swale & rock check dams within segment 6	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Swale & check dams installed and operating properly within segment 6.

- 2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
- 3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
- 4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
- 5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
 - a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or

¹ Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

²Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

^{1.} A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or

b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

³ If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Se	Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)				
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance? ¹	If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?	Conditions Requiring Corrective Action? ^{2, 3}	Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?	Description of Conditions Observed
Sanitary waste facilities, project wide	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. All sanitary facilities removed from project.
2. Storage handling of materials	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. No issues observed.
3. Sediment tracking/street sweeping	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. No issues observed.
4. Concrete washout pits	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. All designated concrete washout stations have been removed.

	Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)				
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline	Stabilization Initiated?	Final Stabilization Criteria Met?	Final Stabilization Photos Taken?	Notes
Road shoulder at 156 Forest Ave near MH #4	Seed and straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 10/30/2023	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/01/2024	☐ Yes ⊠ No	-Loam, seed, and straw were applied to disturbed road shoulderArea has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (≥70%).
2. Hydroseeding within segments 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5	Hydroseeding Stabilization deadline is 7 days	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 11/14/2023	✓ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/01/2024	□ Yes ⊠ No	-Hydroseeding completed within segments 1-5Jute matting completed for portions of the work area within segments 2, 3, 4 & 5 where hydroseeding was completedAreas in segments 1-5 that were hydroseeded in fall of 2023 have revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (≥70%).
3. Seeding of shoulders within segment 6	Seed Stabilization deadline is 7 days	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 5/28/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Seed has been applied to disturbed shoulders during period of inactivity (time of year restriction)Seeding on 5/28/2024 was temporary. See row 7 for permanent stabilization/hydroseeding.
4. Seeding of western shoulder of Wilkins Street	Seed Stabilization deadline is 7 days	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 6/26/2024	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 11/05/2024	☐ Yes ⊠ No	-Loam & seed were applied to disturbed road shoulderArea has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (≥70%).
5. Jute netting within segment 1 on steeper slopes near Wilkins Street	Jute netting and seed Stabilization deadline is 7 days	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/29/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☒ No	Jute netting and seed was applied to steeper slopes within segment 1 near Wilkins Street.
6. Additional hydroseeding within segment 1	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 9/05/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☒ No	Hydroseeding completed in additional areas of segment 1.

7. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 6 both sides of work area	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days		☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	-Hydroseeding was applied to majority of shoulders in segment 6 both sides of work area on 10/29/2024Hydroseeding applied to remaining shoulders in segment 6 on 10/31/2024.
8. Hydroseeing at MH #12 and MH #13 in segment 5 both sides of work area	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 10/31/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Hydroseeding was applied to disturbed soil at MH #12 and MH #13 in segment 5 on 10/31/2024.
9. Hydroseeding of planting beds and additional disturbed areas within segments 1-5 both sides of work areas	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 11/07/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	□ Yes ⊠ No	Hydroseeding of planting beds and additional disturbed areas within segments 1-5 completed 11/07/2024.

	Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)
Was a discharge (not includin	g dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection? ⁴ \square Yes \boxtimes No
 The visual quality of the the characteristics of pollutants. 	f the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater ollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or
Discharge Location	Observations
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

⁴ If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.


Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"			
Signature:	Date: 4-1-2025		
Matthew Devlin			
Printed Name: Matt Devlin	Affiliation: Senior Environmental Specialist- Licensing and Pemitting- Eversource		
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor			
Signature:	Date: 4-1-2025		
Elm-			
Printed Name: Gabriella Suazo	Affiliation: Compliance Monitor- SWCA Environmental Consultants		

Environmental Monitoring Photographs

Epsil	on ates inc.		P	PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG
Client Name:	Eversource	Site Location: Sudbury Reliability Project	to Hudson Transmission	Town: Hudson
Photo No.: 1	Date: 4-1-2025			TANK
Description: View of E&S co 1. Facing west	ontrols in segment			



PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission **Reliability Project**

Town: Hudson

Photo No.: 3

Date: 4-1-2025

Description:

View of rill erosion at the southeast corner of bridge 130. Facing southwest.



PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission **Reliability Project**

Town: Hudson

Photo No.: 4


Date: 4-1-2025

Description:


View of E&S controls in segment 3. Facing west.



Epsilon ASSOCIATES INC.		PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG
Client Name: Eversource	Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project	Town: Hudson
Photo No.: 5 Date: 4-1-2025		MANA
Description: View of E&S controls in segment 4. Facing east.		



Client Name: Eversource Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project Photo No.: 7 Date: 4-1-2025 Description: View of E&S controls in segment 6. Facing east.



CONSTRUCTION MONITORING REPORT Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Project



☐ Weekly ☑ Storm Event ☐ Other	Date: 4-1-2025 Time: 9:00am-12:00pm		Project Name:		
Inspector name(s), title(s), and qualifications: Gab	Sudbury to Hudson				
QPSWPPP, EPA CGP Certified	0.0,	Transmission Reliability			
Others present/affiliation(s): N/A			Project Projec		
Precipitation/Weather (since last inspection): Mixe	ed, 20s-60s		Project Location: Sudbury, Hudson, Stow, and		
Weather conditions (time of inspection & future ou	tlook): Partly cloudy, 40s		Marlborough, MA		
Inspection Location Description (include segment Sudbury Substation .	# and stationing): Segments 7-14 (within Sudb	ury) &	USEPA #:		
*Storm event info (approx):Start date/time:3/31 @	11:50nm Duration:3hrs Amount of rainfall (inche	se):0 50"	MAR1003UW		
Otomi event into (approx). Start date/time. 3/31	11.30pm Duration.3m3 Amount of fairmail (inche	33).0.33			
Summary of Activities/Locations Inspected (in No activities observed on site. All E&S control	<u> </u>				
No activities observed on site. All Edg Control	s in Sudbury inspected.				
Inspection Notes:					
Any Significant Discharges of Sediment (or other)	or Non-Compliance Actions? \square Yes \square No				
Identify presence of stockpiles and document whe	n placed and when removed (week maximum fo	or stockpiles)	□ Yes ⊠ No		
radinary processes of description and describent will	m placed and when removed (week maximum re	n otookphoo)	2 100 2 110		
Compliance with Previous Observations? $\ oxtimes$ Yes	□ No				
New Corrective Action Recommendations ☐ Yes	s ⊠ No				
New Routine Maintenance Recommendations?	☑ Yes □ No				
See comments below.					
ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE					
Compliant with applicable permits and applicable e	environmental requirements? YES ⊠ NO □	If not, explain	:		
Other Comments & Observations					
-This SWPPP inspection covers Segments 7-14		inspection-			
Segments 1-6 & manhole areas (Forest Ave.) in	n Hudson reported separately.		Opm		
-Rain gauge onsite only collected a trace amou	int of liquid, but multiple online precipitation	data	Authorized Size Aug		
sources show approximately 0.59" of rain for t			Authorized Signature		
-Silt fencing is down in segment 10 at approxing	nately station 536+00 on the south side of the	e work	Date		
-Silt fencing is down in segment 10 at approximately station 536+00 on the south side of the work area. Scheduled to be repaired 4/04/2025. 4/1/2025					
-Rill erosion noted around bridge 128, in segment 12 near Union Ave, and on the hydroseeded slope					
between approximately Station 738+00 and 74					
-A large branch has fallen in segment 14 near t	he wetland replication area.				
-A dead tree has fallen in segment 13.					
EVERSOURCE PROJECT MANAGER	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT	PRIME CONTI	RACTOR (BOND)		
Name: Anthony Andrade	Primary Contact (Epsilon Associates)	Name: Matt	Stock		

774-320-9823

Email: anthony.andrade@eversource.com

EVERSOURCE ENVIRONMENTAL CONTACT

Matt Devlin Name: Phone: 508-596-0147

matthew.devlin@eversource.com Email:

Name: Marc Bergeron (Epsilon

Associates) Phone: 508-212-0420 (mobile)

Email: mbergeron@epsilonassociates.com

Secondary Contact (SWCA)

Name: Rebecca Weissman (SWCA) Phone: 339-203-7045

Email: Rebecca.weissman@swca.com

Phone: 617-512-6766

mstock@bond-civilutility.com Email:

SUB CONTRACTOR (ET & L Corp.)

Name: Jake Matys Phone: 978-844-2219 imatys@etlcorp.com Email:

Section A – General Information (If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)					
Inspector	nformation				
Inspector Name: Gabriella Suazo	Title: Compliance Monitor, QCIS, QPSWPPP				
Company Name: SWCA Environmental Consultants	Email: gabriella.suazo@swca.com				
Address: 153 Cordaville Road, Suite 130, Southborough, MA 01772	Phone Number: 774-287-3158				
Inspection	on Details				
Inspection Date: 4/1/2025	Inspection Location: This SWPPP inspection covers Segments 7-14 & Sudbury substation. Balance of SWPPP inspection-Segments 1-6 & manhole areas (Forest Ave.) in Hudson reported separately.				
Inspection Start Time: 9:00am	Inspection End Time: 12:00pm				
Current Phase of Construction: Restoration	Weather Conditions During Inspection: Partly cloudy, 40s				
Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP	Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ⊠ No				
If "Yes," provide the following information:					
Location of unsafe conditions:					
The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:					
Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be su	bject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)				
Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2): ☐ At least once every 7 calendar days; OR ☐ Once every 14 calendar days and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either	er:				
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period 					
Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3): Once every 7 calendar days and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:					
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period 					

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):
□ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
□ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the
occurrence of either:
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
 A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
Eor arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of
either:
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
 A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? 🗵 Yes 🗆 No
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? 🗵 Yes 🗆 No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? Solution of the control of the contro
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☑ On-site rain gauge: 0.0" ☑ Weather station representative of site.
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? Solution of the control of the contro
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? On-site rain gauge: 0.0" Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.59"
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☑ On-site rain gauge: 0.0" ☑ Weather station representative of site.
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? On-site rain gauge: 0.0" Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.59" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.59"
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☑ On-site rain gauge: 0.0" ☑ Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.59" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.59" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? □ Yes ⋈ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow? □ On-site rain gauge
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☑ On-site rain gauge: 0.0" ☑ Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.59" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.59" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? □ Yes ⋈ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow? □ On-site rain gauge □ Weather station representative of site.
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☑ On-site rain gauge: 0.0" ☑ Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.59" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.59" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? □ Yes ⋈ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow? □ On-site rain gauge

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)						
Type and Location of E&S Control	Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance? ¹	If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?	Conditions Requiring Corrective Action? ^{2, 3}	Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?	Description of Conditions Observed	
Silt fencing at entrance pads throughout.	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Silt fencing installed per the plan & operating properly segments 7-14. Portions of erosion controls approved and marked for removal were removed 11/25 & 11/26/2024.	
2. Silt Fencing on ROW in Sudbury	⊠ Yes □ No	1	☐ Yes ⊠ No	4/1/25	-Silt fencing is installed per the plan & operating properly within segment 7-14. Portions of erosion controls approved and marked for removal were removed 11/25 & 11/26/2024Silt fencing is down in segment 10 at approximately station 536+00 on the south side of the work area.	
3. Construction entrance pads	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⋈ No	N/A	All construction entrance pads have been removed from segments 7-14.	
4. Compost filter tubes in Sudbury	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Compost filter tubes are installed per the plan & operating properly within segments 7-14. Portions of erosion controls approved and marked for removal were removed 11/25 & 11/26/2024.	
5. Compost Filter tubes at Sudbury Substation	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Stockpile and tubing within the Sudbury Substation have been removed.	
6. Inlet protection	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Silt sack inlet protection installed throughout the project has been removed.	
7. Floating silt fencing located at segment 13/14 boundary at Bridge 127 in Sudbury	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Floating silt fencing/turbidity curtain within segments 13/14 at Bridge 127 was removed on 11/08/24. Compost filter tubes were placed along banks of Hop Brook, that were previously protected by floating silt fencing/turbidity curtain. Portion of filter tubes at Bridge 127 in segment 13 on the south side of work area are submerged under water.	
8. Rock check dams within segments 7-11, 13 & 14.	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Rock check dams installed & operating properly within segments 7-11,13 & 14.	

¹ Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

²Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

- 1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
- 2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
- 3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
- 4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
- 5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
 - a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
 - b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

³ If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)						
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance? ¹	If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?	Conditions Requiring Corrective Action? ^{2, 3}	Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?	Description of Conditions Observed	
Sanitary waste facilities, project wide	☐ Yes ⋈ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. All sanitary facilities have been removed from project.	
Sediment tracking/street sweeping	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. No issues observed.	
3. Storage handling of materials	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. All project related materials and equipment have been removed.	
4. Concrete washout stations	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. All designated concrete washout stations have been removed.	

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)						
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline	Stabilization Initiated?	Final Stabilization Criteria Met?	Final Stabilization Photos Taken?	Notes	
Areas where invasive species removal has been completed to date within segment 14	Seed & straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/24/2023	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Seed & straw have been applied to areas where invasive plants have been removed within segment 14. Removal within segment 14, progressing west to eastArea has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (>70%)	
Areas where invasive species removal has been completed to date near bridge 128 within segments 7 & 8.	Seed & straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ NoIf "Yes," date initiated:8/4/202310/20/2023	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	□ Yes ⊠ No	-Seed & straw have been applied to areas where invasive plants have been removed near bridge 128 within segments 7 & 8. Two rounds, as notedArea has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (≥70%)	
Areas where invasive species removal has been completed to date within segment 11	Seed & straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 9/18/2023	✓ Yes	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Seed & straw have been applied to areas where invasive plants have been removed within segment 11Area has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (>70%)	
4. Areas where invasive species removal has been completed to date within segment 10	Seed & straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 9/19/2023	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Seed & straw have been applied to areas where invasive plants have been removed within segment 10Area has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (>70%)	
5. Areas where invasive species removal has been completed to date within segments 8 & 9	Seed & straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 10/3/2023	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Seed & straw have been applied to areas where invasive plants have been removed within segments 8 & 9Area has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (>70%)	
Wetland replication area within segment 14 completed	Seed & straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 10/31/2023 10/18/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	□ Yes ⊠ No	-Seed & straw have been applied to the wetland replication area within segment 14Area revegetated, but was disturbed and seeded again 10/18/2024	

7. Seeding of shoulders within segment 7 8. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 8 both sides off work area.	Seed Stabilization deadline is 7 days. Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 5/28/2024 ☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/26/2024	 ✓ Yes ✓ No If "Yes," date criteria met: ✓ Yes ✓ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024 	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	-Seed was applied to disturbed segment shoulders during period of inactivity (time of year restriction)Seeding on 5/28/2024 was temporary. See row 16 for permanent stabilization/hydroseedingHydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.
9. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 9 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/11/2024	Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.
10. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 10 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/22/2024	✓ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ⊠ No	-Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.
11. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 11 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/19/2024	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.
12. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 12 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/31/2024	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	□ Yes ⊠ No	-Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.

13. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 13 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/31/2024	✓ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ⊠ No	-Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.
14. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 14 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/31/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ⊠ No	-Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.
15. Hydroseeding of planting beds and additional disturbed areas within segments 7-14 both sides of work areas.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 10/25/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Hydroseed was applied to planting beds and any additional disturbed areas within segments 7-14.
16. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 7 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 10/29/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shoulders.

	Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)
Was a discharge (not includin	ag dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection? $^4 \Box \text{ Yes} \boxtimes \text{ No}$
 The visual quality of the characteristics of pollutants. 	of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater ollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or
Discharge Location	Observations
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

⁴ If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"					
Signature: Matthew Devlin	Date: 4-1-2025				
Printed Name: Matt Devlin	Affiliation: Senior Environmental Specialist - Licensing & Permitting - Eversource				
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontra	ctor Senior Environmental Scientist/Compliance Monitor				
Date: 4-1-2025					
Printed Name: Gabriella Suazo Affiliation: Compliance Monitor- SWCA Environmental Consultants					

Environmental Monitoring Photographs

Epsilon ASSOCIATES INC.		ı	PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG
Client Name: Eversource	Site Location: Sudbury Reliability Project	to Hudson Transmission	Town: Sudbury
Photo No.: 1 Date: 4-1-2025	MA		
Description: View of E&S controls in segment 14. Facing west.			

Client Name: Eversource Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project Photo No.: 2 Date: 4-1-2025 Description: View of rill erosion on the hydroseeded slope between approximately Station 738+00 and 741+00 in segment 14. Facing south.

PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission **Reliability Project**

Town: Sudbury

Photo No.: 3

Date: 4-1-2025

Description:

View of bridge 127 from segment 14. Facing west.



PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission **Reliability Project**

Town: Sudbury

Photo No.: 4

Date: 4-1-2025

Description:

View of E&S controls in segment 13. Facing west.





PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project

Town: Sudbury

Photo No.: 5

Date: 4-1-2025

Description:

View of rill erosion in segment 12 near Union Ave. Silt fence has been reinforced in this area. Facing east.



Epsilon ASSOCIATES INC.

PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project


Town: Sudbury


Photo No.: 6 Date: 4-1-2025

Description:

View of rill erosion at the southwest corner of bridge 128. Facing south.







CONSTRUCTION MONITORING REPORT Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Project



☐ Weekly ☐ Storm Event ☐ Other Date: 4-4-2025 Time: 7am-8am; 2:30pm-3:45pm	Project Name:					
Inspector name(s), title(s), and qualifications: Gabriella Suazo (SWCA), Compliance Monitor, QCIS, QPSWPPP, EPA CGP Certified Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability						
Others present/affiliation(s): N/A	Project					
Precipitation/Weather (since last inspection): Mixed, 20s-60s	Project Location:					
Weather conditions (time of inspection & future outlook): Partly cloudy, 50s-60s	Sudbury, Hudson, Stow, and Marlborough, MA					
Inspection Location Description (include segment # and stationing): Segments 1-6 & MHs #1-4 on Wilkins and Forest Ave (Hudson)	USEPA #:					
*Storm event info (approx):Start date/time: 4/3/25 Duration:12 hrs Amount of rainfall (inches):0.35"	MAR1003UW					
Commovement (approx). Count date/anno. 470/20 Burdaton: 12 mo / anount or runnam (mones). 4.00						
Summary of Activities/Locations Inspected (include segment # and stationing):						
Bond repairing silt fence and erosion at Bridge 130 and in segment 6. All E&S controls in Hudson inspect	ted.					
Inspection Notes:						
Any Significant Discharges of Sediment (or other) or Non-Compliance Actions? ☐ Yes ☐ No						
Identify presence of stockpiles and document when placed and when removed (week maximum for stockpiles)	☐ Yes					
Compliance with Previous Observations? \boxtimes Yes \square No						
New Corrective Action Recommendations? ☐ Yes						
New Routine Maintenance Recommendations? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \boxtimes$ No						
ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE						
Compliant with applicable permits and applicable environmental requirements? $\ oxtimes$ Yes $\ oxtimes$ No $\ \ $ If not, explain:						
Other Comments & Observations						
-This SWPPP inspection covers Segments 1-6 & MHs #1-4 on Wilkins and Forest Ave (Hudson). Balance						
of SWPPP inspection- Segments 7-14 and Sudbury Substation reported separately.	Oppor					
-Rain gauge onsite only collected a 0.20" of rain, but multiple online precipitation data sources show approximately 0.35" of rain for the area on 4/3/2025. **Authorized Signature**						
-Rill erosion noted at bridge 130 and near Station 347 in segment 6 was repaired on 4/04/2025.	Date					
-Multiple trees/limbs have fallen in segment 4. 4/4/2025						
EVERSOURCE PROJECT MANAGER ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT PRIME CONTI	RACTOR (BOND)					

Name: Anthony Andrade Phone: 774-320-9823

Email: anthony.andrade@eversource.com

EVERSOURCE ENVIRONMENTAL CONTACT

Name: Matt Devlin Phone: 508-596-0147

Email: <u>matthew.devlin@eversource.com</u>

Primary Contact (Epsilon Associates)
Name: Marc Bergeron (Epsilon

Associates)

Phone: 508-212-0420 (mobile)

Email: mbergeron@epsilonassociates.com

Secondary Contact (SWCA)

Name: Rebecca Weissman (SWCA)

Phone: 339-203-7045
Email: Rebecca.weissman@swca.com

Name: Matt Stock Phone: 617-512-6766

Email: <u>mstock@bond-civilutility.com</u>

SUB CONTRACTOR (ET & L Corp.)

Name: Jake Matys
Phone: 978-844-2219
Email: jmatys@etlcorp.com

Section A – General Information (If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)					
Inspector Information					
Inspector Name: Gabriella Suazo Title: Compliance Monitor, QCIS, QPSWPPP					
Company Name: SWCA Environmental Consultants	Email: gabriella.suazo@swca.com				
Address: 153 Cordaville Road, Suite 130, Southborough, MA 01772	Phone Number: 774-287-3158				
Inspection Details					
Inspection Date: 4/4/2025	Inspection Location: This SWPPP inspection covers Segments 1-6 & MHs #1-4 on Wilkins and Forest Ave (Hudson). Balance of SWPPP inspection- Segments 7-14 and Sudbury Substation reported separately.				
Inspection Start Time: 7:00am	Inspection End Time: 3:45pm				
Current Phase of Construction: Restoration	Weather Conditions During Inspection: Partly cloudy, 50s-60s				
Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? Yes No					
If "Yes," provide the following information:					
Location of unsafe conditions:					
The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:					
Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)					
Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2): At least once every 7 calendar days; OR Once every 14 calendar days and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:					
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period 					
Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3): Once every 7 calendar days and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:					
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period 					

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):
For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
□ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the
occurrence of either:
A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
 A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
□ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of
either:
A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
 A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ≥ Yes ⊃ No
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ✓ Yes □ No
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☑ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☑ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☐ On-site rain gauge: 0.20"
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ✓ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☐ On-site rain gauge: 0.20" ☑ Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.35"
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ✓ Yes ✓ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ✓ On-site rain gauge: 0.20" ✓ Weather station representative of site. ✓ Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.35" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.35"
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☑ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☐ On-site rain gauge: 0.20" ☑ Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.35" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.35" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ≥ Yes No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? On-site rain gauge: 0.20" Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.35" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.35" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? Yes ≥ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☑ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☐ On-site rain gauge: 0.20" ☑ Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.35" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.35" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow? ☐ On-site rain gauge
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☑ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☐ On-site rain gauge: 0.20" ☑ Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.35" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.35" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow? ☐ On-site rain gauge ☒ Weather station representative of site.
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☑ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain? ☐ On-site rain gauge: 0.20" ☑ Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.35" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.35" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow? ☐ On-site rain gauge

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance? ¹	If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?	Conditions Requiring Corrective Action? ^{2, 3}	Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?	Description of Conditions Observed
Silt Fencing at Entrance pads throughout	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Silt fence was installed per the plan at construction entrances throughout. Portions of erosion controls approved and marked for removal were removed between 11/25 & 12/06/2024.
2. Construction Entrance Pads	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Rip-rap construction entrance pads have been removed sitewide now that process material/stone base has been applied.
3. Filter Tubes at MH#1 area at Hudson Power & Light	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Filter tubes have been removed for Hudson Substation work behind Hudson Light & Power.
4. Silt Fencing at laydown yards (25 Stowe Ct and 17 Bonazzoli Avenue)	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	-Silt fencing has been removed from Bonazzoli laydown yardStowe Ct laydown yard has been closed out for this project, silt fence remains installed for Bond's use of this yard for another project.
5. Straw Wattles in Hudson	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Straw wattles have been removed.
6. Silt Fencing on ROW in Hudson	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	-Silt fence is installed and operating properly in segments 1-6Portions of erosion controls approved and marked for removal were removed between 11/25 & 12/06/2024Additional sections of silt fence were added in front of compost filter tubes on east side of bridge 130 for additional protection on 1/14/2025.
7. Silt Fencing & Filter Tubes in Stow (segment 1 Off Chestnut St)	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Controls are operating properly.
8. Filter Tubes in Hudson	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	-Filter tubes are installed and mostly operating properly in segments 1-5Additional filter tubes were added to Bridge 130 area on 11/15/2024Portions of erosion controls approved and marked for removal were removed between 11/25 & 12/06/2024.

9. Inlet protection	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Roadwork completed for 2024 season, silt sack inlet protection has been removed.
10. Turbidity curtain/floating silt fencing in Hudson	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Floating silt fencing/turbidity curtain removed within segments 2/3 at Bridge 130 on 11/15/2024. Filter tubes were placed at the base of slopes adjacent to Fort Meadow Brook.
11. Silt fence & Filter Tubes along Forest Ave at MH #4	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Silt fence & filter tubes were removed at this location when road work was completed for the 2023 season.
12. Silt fence & Filter Tubes along roadwork at Wilkins St	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Silt fencing removed 11/20/24. Filter tubes left to decompose in place.
13. Rock lined swale & rock check dams within segment 1	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Rock lined swale & check dams installed and operating properly within segment 1 (Hudson & Stow).
14. Rock lined swale & rock check dams within segment 3	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Rock lined swale & check dams installed and operating properly within segment 3.
15. Rock check dams within segment 4	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Rock check dams installed and operating properly within segment 4.
16. Rock lined swale & rock check dams within segment 5	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Rock lined swale & check dams installed and operating properly within segment 5.
17. Swale & rock check dams within segment 6	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Swale & check dams installed and operating properly within segment 6.
·					

¹ Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

²Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

^{1.} A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or

^{2.} A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or

^{3.} Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or

^{4.} A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or

^{5.} During the discharge from site dewatering activities:

a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or

b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

³ If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance? ¹	If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?	Conditions Requiring Corrective Action? ^{2, 3}	Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?	Description of Conditions Observed
Sanitary waste facilities, project wide	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. All sanitary facilities removed from project.
2. Storage handling of materials	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. No issues observed.
3. Sediment tracking/street sweeping	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. No issues observed.
4. Concrete washout pits	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. All designated concrete washout stations have been removed.

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)						
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline	Stabilization Initiated?	Final Stabilization Criteria Met?	Final Stabilization Photos Taken?	Notes	
Road shoulder at 156 Forest Ave near MH #4	Seed and straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 10/30/2023	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/01/2024	☐ Yes ⊠ No	-Loam, seed, and straw were applied to disturbed road shoulderArea has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (≥70%).	
2. Hydroseeding within segments 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5	Hydroseeding Stabilization deadline is 7 days	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 11/14/2023	✓ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/01/2024	□ Yes ⊠ No	-Hydroseeding completed within segments 1-5Jute matting completed for portions of the work area within segments 2, 3, 4 & 5 where hydroseeding was completedAreas in segments 1-5 that were hydroseeded in fall of 2023 have revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (≥70%).	
3. Seeding of shoulders within segment 6	Seed Stabilization deadline is 7 days	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 5/28/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Seed has been applied to disturbed shoulders during period of inactivity (time of year restriction)Seeding on 5/28/2024 was temporary. See row 7 for permanent stabilization/hydroseeding.	
4. Seeding of western shoulder of Wilkins Street	Seed Stabilization deadline is 7 days	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 6/26/2024	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 11/05/2024	☐ Yes ⊠ No	-Loam & seed were applied to disturbed road shoulderArea has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (≥70%).	
5. Jute netting within segment 1 on steeper slopes near Wilkins Street	Jute netting and seed Stabilization deadline is 7 days	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/29/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☒ No	Jute netting and seed was applied to steeper slopes within segment 1 near Wilkins Street.	
6. Additional hydroseeding within segment 1	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 9/05/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☒ No	Hydroseeding completed in additional areas of segment 1.	

7. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 6 both sides of work area	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days		☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	-Hydroseeding was applied to majority of shoulders in segment 6 both sides of work area on 10/29/2024Hydroseeding applied to remaining shoulders in segment 6 on 10/31/2024.
8. Hydroseeing at MH #12 and MH #13 in segment 5 both sides of work area	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 10/31/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Hydroseeding was applied to disturbed soil at MH #12 and MH #13 in segment 5 on 10/31/2024.
9. Hydroseeding of planting beds and additional disturbed areas within segments 1-5 both sides of work areas	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 11/07/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	□ Yes ⊠ No	Hydroseeding of planting beds and additional disturbed areas within segments 1-5 completed 11/07/2024.

	Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)							
Was a discharge (not includin	g dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection? ⁴ \square Yes \boxtimes No							
 The visual quality of the the characteristics of pollutants. 	f the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater ollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or							
Discharge Location	Observations							
1.								
2.								
3.								
4.								
5.								

⁴ If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.


Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"						
Signature:	Date: 4-4-2025					
Matthew Devlin						
Printed Name: Matt Devlin	Affiliation: Senior Environmental Specialist- Licensing and Pemitting- Eversource					
OPTIONAL: Signature of C	Contractor or Subcontractor					
Signature:	Date: 4-4-2025					
Elm-						
Printed Name: Gabriella Suazo	Affiliation: Compliance Monitor- SWCA Environmental Consultants					

Environmental Monitoring Photographs

Epsilon ASSOCIATES INC.		PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG			
Client Name: Eversource	Site Location: Sudbury Reliability Project	to Hudson Transmission	Town: Hudson		
Photo No.: 1 Date: 4-4-2025					
Description:		東州 沙州	THE STATE OF THE S		
View of E&S controls in segment 1. Facing east.		A COLUMN			
		国作成的			
	A. Arabit	R M R L M	A AR WALLEY		
	性語道				
			- 1		
	A design				



PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission **Reliability Project**

Town: Hudson

Photo No.: 3

Date: 4-4-2025

Description:

View of repaired rill erosion at the southeast corner of bridge 130. Facing southwest.



PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission **Reliability Project**

Town: Hudson


Photo No.: 4


Date: 4-4-2025

Description:

View of E&S controls in segment 3. Facing east.







PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission **Reliability Project**

Town: Hudson

Photo No.: 7

Date: 4-4-2025

Description:

View of repaired rill erosion in segment 6 near station 347. Facing southwest.



PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission **Reliability Project**

Town: Hudson

Photo No.: 8

Date: 4-4-2025

Description:

View of Bond working at Bridge 130. Facing west.



CONSTRUCTION MONITORING REPORT Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Project



☐ Weekly ☑ Storm Event ☐ Other Date: 4-4-2025 Time: 8:00am-2:30pm	Project Name:						
Inspector name(s), title(s), and qualifications: Gabriella Suazo (SWCA), Compliance Monitor, QCIS,	Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability						
QPSWPPP, EPA CGP Certified	Project						
Others present/affiliation(s): N/A	Project Location:						
Precipitation/Weather (since last inspection): Mixed, 20s-60s	Sudbury, Hudson, Stow, and						
Weather conditions (time of inspection & future outlook): Partly cloudy, 50s-60s	Marlborough, MA						
Inspection Location Description (include segment # and stationing): Segments 7-14 (within Sudbury) & Sudbury Substation.	USEPA #: MAR1003UW						
*Storm event info (approx):Start date/time:4/3/25 Duration:12 hrs Amount of rainfall (inches):0.35"	WAR 10030VV						
Summary of Activities/Locations Inspected (include segment # and stationing):							
Bond repairing silt fence and erosion at Bridge 128, in segment 10, and segment 14. All E&S controls in	Sudbury inspected.						
Inspection Notes:							
Any Significant Discharges of Sediment (or other) or Non-Compliance Actions? Yes No							
Identify presence of stockpiles and document when placed and when removed (week maximum for stockpiles)	☐ Yes						
Compliance with Previous Observations? ⊠ Yes □ No							
New Competitive Action Decomposed attacks							
New Corrective Action Recommendations ☐ Yes ☒ No							
New Routine Maintenance Recommendations? ☐ Yes ⊠ No							
ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE							
Compliant with applicable permits and applicable environmental requirements? YES NO If not, expla	in·						
Compliant with applicable permits and applicable environmental requirements: 123 🖂 140 🖂 11 hot, expla	III						
Other Comments & Observations							
-This SWPPP inspection covers Segments 7-14 & Sudbury substation. Balance of SWPPP inspection-							
Segments 1-6 & manhole areas (Forest Ave.) in Hudson reported separately.	Xa/m						
-Rain gauge onsite only collected a 0.20" of rain, but multiple online precipitation data sources show approximately 0.35" of rain for the area on 4/3/2025.	Authorized Signature						
-Silt fencing that was down in segment 10 at approximately station 536+00 on the south side of the	Date						
work area was repaired 4/04/2025.	4/4/2025						
-Rill erosion noted around bridge 128, in segment 12 near Union Ave, and on the hydroseeded slope							
between approximately Station 738+00 and 741+00 in segment 14 was repaired 4/04/2025.							
-A large branch has fallen in segment 14 near the wetland replication area was removed on 4/04/2025.							
-A dead tree has fallen in segment 13.							
EVERSOURCE PROJECT MANAGER ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT PRIME CON'	TRACTOR (BOND)						
	• •						

Name: Anthony Andrade Phone: 774-320-9823

 ${\bf Email:} \quad \underline{anthony.andrade@eversource.com}$

EVERSOURCE ENVIRONMENTAL CONTACT

Name: Matt Devlin Phone: 508-596-0147

Email: matthew.devlin@eversource.com

Primary Contact (Epsilon Associates)
Name: Marc Bergeron (Epsilon

Associates)
Phone: 508-212-0420 (mobile)

Email: mbergeron@epsilonassociates.com

Secondary Contact (SWCA)

Name: Rebecca Weissman (SWCA)

Phone: 339-203-7045

Email: Rebecca.weissman@swca.com

Name: Matt Stock Phone: 617-512-6766

Email: <u>mstock@bond-civilutility.com</u>

SUB CONTRACTOR (ET & L Corp.)

Name: Jake Matys

Phone: 978-844-2219 Email: <u>jmatys@etlcorp.com</u>

Section A – General Information (If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)						
Inspector Information						
Inspector Name: Gabriella Suazo Title: Compliance Monitor, QCIS, QPSWPPP						
Company Name: SWCA Environmental Consultants	Email: gabriella.suazo@swca.com					
Address: 153 Cordaville Road, Suite 130, Southborough, MA 01772	Phone Number: 774-287-3158					
Inspection	on Details					
Inspection Date: 4/4/2025	Inspection Location: This SWPPP inspection covers Segments 7-14 & Sudbury substation. Balance of SWPPP inspection-Segments 1-6 & manhole areas (Fores Ave.) in Hudson reported separately.					
Inspection Start Time: 8:00am	Inspection End Time: 2:30pm					
Current Phase of Construction: Restoration	Weather Conditions During Inspection: Partly cloudy, 50s-60s					
Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP	Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No					
If "Yes," provide the following information:						
Location of unsafe conditions:						
The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:						
Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be su	bject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)					
Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2): ☐ At least once every 7 calendar days; OR ☐ Once every 14 calendar days and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either	er:					
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period 						
Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3): Solution Once every 7 calendar days and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:						
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period 						

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):
 For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
□ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
 A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month
Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ⊠ Yes □ No
If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?
On-site rain gauge: 0.20"
, ·
 □ On-site rain gauge: 0.20" ☑ Weather station representative of site.
 On-site rain gauge: 0.20" Weather station representative of site. Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.35"
 □ On-site rain gauge: 0.20" ☑ Weather station representative of site. ☑ Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.35" Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.35" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? □ Yes ☑ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow? □ On-site rain gauge
 □ On-site rain gauge: 0.20" ☑ Weather station representative of site. ☑ Weather station location: NOAA, Laurence G Handscomb Field Airport: 0.35" Iotal rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 0.35" Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? □ Yes ⋈ No If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)							
Type and Location of E&S Control	Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance? ¹	If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?	Conditions Requiring Corrective Action? ^{2, 3}	Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?	Description of Conditions Observed		
Silt fencing at entrance pads throughout.	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Silt fencing installed per the plan & operating properly segments 7-14. Portions of erosion controls approved and marked for removal were removed 11/25 & 11/26/2024.		
2. Silt Fencing on ROW in Sudbury	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	-Silt fencing is installed per the plan & operating properly within segment 7-14. Portions of erosion controls approved and marked for removal were removed 11/25 & 11/26/2024Silt fencing is down in segment 10 at approximately station 536+00 on the south side of the work area was repaired on 4/4/2025.		
3. Construction entrance pads	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⋈ No	N/A	All construction entrance pads have been removed from segments 7-14.		
4. Compost filter tubes in Sudbury	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Compost filter tubes are installed per the plan & operating properly within segments 7-14. Portions of erosion controls approved and marked for removal were removed 11/25 & 11/26/2024.		
5. Compost Filter tubes at Sudbury Substation	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Stockpile and tubing within the Sudbury Substation have been removed.		
6. Inlet protection	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Silt sack inlet protection installed throughout the project has been removed.		
7. Floating silt fencing located at segment 13/14 boundary at Bridge 127 in Sudbury	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	□ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Floating silt fencing/turbidity curtain within segments 13/14 at Bridge 127 was removed on 11/08/24. Compost filter tubes were placed along banks of Hop Brook, that were previously protected by floating silt fencing/turbidity curtain. Portion of filter tubes at Bridge 127 in segment 13 on the south side of work area are submerged under water.		
8. Rock check dams within segments 7-11, 13 & 14.	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Rock check dams installed & operating properly within segments 7-11,13 & 14.		

If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:

¹ Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

²Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

- 1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
- 2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
- 3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
- 4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
- 5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
 - a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
 - b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

³ If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)							
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance? ¹	If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?	Conditions Requiring Corrective Action? ^{2, 3}	Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?	Description of Conditions Observed		
Sanitary waste facilities, project wide	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. All sanitary facilities have been removed from project.		
2. Sediment tracking/street sweeping	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. No issues observed.		
Storage handling of materials	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. All project related materials and equipment have been removed.		
4. Concrete washout stations	☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A	Construction activities completed. All designated concrete washout stations have been removed.		

If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)						
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline	Stabilization Initiated?	Final Stabilization Criteria Met?	Final Stabilization Photos Taken?	Notes	
Areas where invasive species removal has been completed to date within segment 14	Seed & straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/24/2023	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Seed & straw have been applied to areas where invasive plants have been removed within segment 14. Removal within segment 14, progressing west to eastArea has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (>70%)	
Areas where invasive species removal has been completed to date near bridge 128 within segments 7 & 8.	Seed & straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ NoIf "Yes," date initiated:8/4/202310/20/2023	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	□ Yes ⊠ No	-Seed & straw have been applied to areas where invasive plants have been removed near bridge 128 within segments 7 & 8. Two rounds, as notedArea has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (≥70%)	
Areas where invasive species removal has been completed to date within segment 11	Seed & straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 9/18/2023	✓ Yes	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Seed & straw have been applied to areas where invasive plants have been removed within segment 11Area has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (>70%)	
4. Areas where invasive species removal has been completed to date within segment 10	Seed & straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 9/19/2023	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Seed & straw have been applied to areas where invasive plants have been removed within segment 10Area has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (>70%)	
5. Areas where invasive species removal has been completed to date within segments 8 & 9	Seed & straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 10/3/2023	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Seed & straw have been applied to areas where invasive plants have been removed within segments 8 & 9Area has revegetated. Revegetation coverage is adequate for CGP (>70%)	
Wetland replication area within segment 14 completed	Seed & straw Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 10/31/2023 10/18/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	□ Yes ⊠ No	-Seed & straw have been applied to the wetland replication area within segment 14Area revegetated, but was disturbed and seeded again 10/18/2024	

7. Seeding of shoulders within segment 7 8. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 8 both sides off work area.	Seed Stabilization deadline is 7 days. Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 5/28/2024 ☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/26/2024	 ✓ Yes ✓ No If "Yes," date criteria met: ✓ Yes ✓ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024 	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	-Seed was applied to disturbed segment shoulders during period of inactivity (time of year restriction)Seeding on 5/28/2024 was temporary. See row 16 for permanent stabilization/hydroseedingHydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.
9. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 9 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/11/2024	Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.
10. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 10 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/22/2024	✓ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ⊠ No	-Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.
11. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 11 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/19/2024	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No	-Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.
12. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 12 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/31/2024	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	□ Yes ⊠ No	-Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.

13. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 13 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/31/2024	✓ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ⊠ No	-Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.
14. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 14 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 7/31/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met: 10/1/2024	☐ Yes ⊠ No	-Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shouldersPortions of segment have adequate revegetation for CGP (≥70%) as of 10/1/2024. See row 16 for portions of this segment that have not yet reached stabilization threshold.
15. Hydroseeding of planting beds and additional disturbed areas within segments 7-14 both sides of work areas.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 10/25/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Hydroseed was applied to planting beds and any additional disturbed areas within segments 7-14.
16. Hydroseeding of shoulders within segment 7 both sides off work area.	Hydroseed Stabilization deadline is 7 days.	✓ Yes □ No If "Yes," date initiated: 10/29/2024	☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Hydroseed was applied to recently loamed shoulders.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?⁴ ☐ Yes ☒ No					
 The visual quality of the characteristics of pollutants. 	of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater ollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or				
Discharge Location	Observations				
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					

⁴ If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"				
Signature: Matthew Devlin	Date: 4-4-2025			
Printed Name: Matt Devlin	Affiliation: Senior Environmental Specialist - Licensing & Permitting - Eversource			
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor Senior Environmental Scientist/Compliance Monitor				
Signature:	Date: 4-4-2025			
Printed Name: Gabriella Suazo	Affiliation: Compliance Monitor- SWCA Environmental Consultants			

Environmental Monitoring Photographs

Client Name: Eversource Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project Photo No.: 1 Date: 4-4-2025 Description: View of E&S controls in segment 14. Facing west.

Client Name: Eversource Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project Photo No.: 2 Date: 4-4-2025 Description: View of repaired area of erosion on the hydroseeded slope between approximately Station 738+00 and 741+00 in segment 14. Facing southeast.

EpsilonASSOCIATES INC.

PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project

Town: Sudbury

Photo No.: 3

Date: 4-4-2025

Description:

View of bridge 127 from segment

14. Facing west.



Epsilon ASSOCIATES INC.

PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project

Town: Sudbury

Photo No.: 4

Date: 4-4-2025

Description:

View of E&S controls in segment

13. Facing east.





PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Date: 4-4-2025

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission **Reliability Project**

Town: Sudbury



Photo No.: 5

View of repaired rill erosion and reinforced silt fence in segment 12 near Union Ave. Facing east.



PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Client Name: Eversource

Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission **Reliability Project**

Town: Sudbury

Photo No.: 6 Date: 4-4-2025

Description:

View of repaired rill erosion at the southwest corner of bridge 128. Facing southeast.



