

275 Old Lancaster Rd. Sudbury, MA 01776 978-443-2209 x1370 Fax 978-443-6128

Zoning Board of Appeals

Planning Board

From: Conservation Commission

Aug. 31, 2016 Date:

To:

Re: The Coolidge at Sudbury-Phase II – Comprehensive Permit

At the Conservation Commission meeting held August 22, 2016 the Commission reviewed the Plans by Hancock Associates dated June 29, 2016 for the construction of a new 56-unit, 55 and older, apartment building with associated drainage, parking and septic. The project is filed as a Chapter 40B Comprehensive Permit for affordable housing construction. As such, the applicant is able to circumvent the local wetland bylaw.

The proposed plans show disturbance with 25' of the wetland area on the property with the corner of the new building only 58+- feet from the wetland. Although this application to ZBA is for a modification of the permit issued for the Phase I development, the applicant is required to submit a new permit application and meet the state Wetland Protection Act requirements for new activities on the site within 100' of wetlands.

The applicant must obtain approval from the MA Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program under the MAS Endangered Species Act for the work proposed within mapped Estimated and Priority Habitat Areas.

Sequencing of the project will be a key component. An existing stormwater detention basin for the current parking lot will be eliminated with a new basin designed and constructed in very close proximity to the wetland. Accommodations for drainage during construction will need to be made for the runoff now entering the existing basin located at the site of the future parking area and turn-around. MA stormwater requirements must be met during and after construction.

With the proposed elimination of the large majority of the wetland buffer zone, the Conservation Commission expects the remaining area of the buffer to be critically enhanced to offset losses of the buffer's functioning to protect the wetland. This includes enhancing the remaining buffer area for pollution attenuation, wildlife habitat, and water quality.

It is unfortunate that Phase II was not considered during the design and review of Phase I. This would have allowed a more thoughtful approach to the remaining land and likely resulted in a better footprint of overall development relative to remaining natural areas.