

December 2, 2020

Ref: 12970.00/14424.00

Sudbury Planning Board Flynn Building 278 Old Sudbury Road Sudbury, MA 01776

Re: Supplemental Submission

Applicants' Response to Horsley Witten Peer Review Comment Letter dated November 23, 2020 Sudbury-Hudson Transmission Reliability and Mass Central Rail Trail Project

Sudbury Planning Board Members,

The Applicants, the Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation ("DCR") and NSTAR Electric Company d/b/a Eversource Energy ("Eversource"), are providing this response to the specific items in the peer review letter provided by Horsley Witten, dated November 23, 2020, that presented further comment. For those items, the full comment thread is presented below, with BETA's comments in italics, both sets of Horsley Witten's comments in bold, and VHB's response in plain text. These responses also address comments from the Planning Board's public hearing on November 18, 2020.

SW1. Clarify justification for abandonment of existing culvert pipes such that local drainage patterns will not be impaired.

<u>Sept. 18, 2020 (HW)</u>: In its response to BETA, VHB has identified two culverts that were previously noted to be abandoned. The pipes have been relabeled to be retained on the July 2020 plan set. BETA referenced a Culvert Structure Assessment Memorandum from 2017, HW was not able to locate this document however agrees that BETA's request appears reasonable to update the assessment and locate any structures mentioned.

<u>November 2020 (HW)</u>: HW recommends that the Planning Board consider a condition of approval stating that "a structural engineer is to inspect the culverts as noted in the Culvert Structure Assessment Memorandum from 2017 prior to any land disturbance. The Assessment is to be updated and culverts noted to be retained shall be protected and cleaned. Culverts found that require replacement shall be replaced with a crossing that meets the MA Stream Crossing Standards as accepted by the Conservation Commission."

Engineers | Scientists | Planners | Designers

VHB: The Applicants can agree to this special condition.

101 Walnut Street

PO Box 9151

- Watertown, Massachusetts 02471
- P 617.924.1770

F 617.924.2286



#### SW3. See WPA1. BETA recommends the Commission determine if this combined project qualifies as a Limited Project 310 CMR 10.53(3)(d).

<u>Sept. 18, 2020 (HW)</u>: BETA and VHB are discussing this issue under the purview of the Conservation Commission. As BETA has noted the applicability of Limited Project provisions for a given project may only be determined by the issuing authority which is the Sudbury Conservation Commission.

For the Planning Board's information, 310 CMR 10.53 General Provisions (3)(d) states, "The construction, reconstruction, operation and maintenance of underground and overhead public utilities, such as electrical distribution or transmission lines, or communication, sewer, water and natural gas lines, may be permitted, in accordance with the following general conditions and any additional conditions deemed necessary by the issuing authority:

1. the issuing authority may require a reasonable alternative route with fewer adverse effects for a local distribution or connecting line not reviewed by the Energy Facilities Siting Council;

- 2. best available measures shall be used to minimize adverse effects during construction;
- 3. the surface vegetation and contours of the area shall be substantially restored; and
- 4. all sewer lines shall be constructed to minimize inflow and leakage."

Regarding the DCR bike path, the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook (MSH) Volume 1, Chapter 1, page 3, sates that, the Stormwater Management Standards shall apply to the maximum extent practicable to footpaths, bike paths and other paths for pedestrian and/or nonmotorized vehicle access.

Furthermore 310CMR 10.53 General Provisions (6) states "Notwithstanding the provisions of 310 CMR 10.58 (Riverfront Area), the issuing authority may issue an Order of Conditions for the construction, rehabilitation, and maintenance of footpaths, bike paths, and other pedestrian or nonmotorized vehicle access to or along riverfront areas but outside other resource areas, provided that adverse impacts from the work are minimized and that the design specifications are commensurate with the projected use and are compatible with the character of the riverfront area. Generally, the width of the access shall not exceed ten feet of pavement, except within an area that is already altered (e.g., railroad beds within rights of way). Access shall not be located in vernal pools or fenced in a manner which would impede the movement of wildlife."

It is HW's opinion that the Stormwater Management Standards are associated with an increase in impervious area and significant alteration to surface topography. The 10-foot wide bike path will increase impervious area and are required to apply the Massachusetts Stormwater Standards to the maximum extent practicable. The majority of the Eversource transmission line is below the surface and therefore does not significantly impact the stormwater except in areas where the proposed grades create steep slopes and where large areas of vegetation is cleared from woods to grass. To minimize any increase in runoff the cleared landscape should be



replanted with hearty vegetation. The Eversource proposal includes replacing the existing 11foot wide railroad ballast with a 14-foot wide gravel path that will be used to access the transmission line by vehicles. The anticipated frequency of vehicles using this gravel road should be provided to the Town of Sudbury as well as an explanation detailing the need for the 14-foot wide path to replace the 11-foot wide railroad ballast.

<u>November 2020 (HW)</u>: The Applicant has stated that Eversource requires a 14-foot wide access way for maintenance purposes. After construction is complete, the 14-foot wide gravel path will be utilized by Eversource once every three years. The gravel base material will stabilize the path and reduce erosion and rutting within the corridor.

The cross sections provided on Sheets 14-17 of the Eversource plan set indicate that 4" of loam and seed will be installed over the entire width of the disturbed area with the exception of a 10 foot wide section of 4" pavement to be installed by DCR for the bike path. Sheets 102-122 illustrate the various plantings to be installed as part of the Eversource project. Sheet 161 lists the planting schedule for the corridor.

It is HW's understanding that typical multi-use paths in Massachusetts require a minimum width of 10 feet for the comfort of the bike riders and pedestrians using the path at the same time. Furthermore, a typical multi-use path requires 2-3-foot-wide shoulders on both sides of the path. Therefore the 14-foot wide gravel base appears to be reasonable for the bike path.

Volume 3, Chapter 1, page 15 of the 2008 Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook states that impervious surfaces include roads, rooftops, parking lots, and sidewalks, when they are paved with concrete, asphalt, or brick pavers.

With the understanding that the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook does not consider gravel to be impervious, it is HW's opinion that the proposed stormwater management design for the proposed Eversource Transmission phase of the project complies with the Massachusetts Stormwater Standards.

The Town of Sudbury Stormwater Management Bylaw Regulations defines IMPERVIOUS SURFACE: Any material or structure on, above or below the ground that prevents water from infiltrating through the underlying soil. Impervious surface is defined to include, without limitation: paved surfaces (parking lots, sidewalks, driveways), roof tops, swimming pools, patios, and paved, gravel and compacted dirt surfaced roads.

With the understanding that the Town of Sudbury Stormwater Management Bylaw Regulations considers gravel to be impervious, it is HW's opinion that the stormwater management for the proposed Eversource Transmission phase of the project with a 14 foot wide gravel road is not in full compliance with the Town of Sudbury Stormwater Management Bylaw Regulations because there is an increase in peak discharge rates at several design points, and the Applicant does not provide the required recharge volume or water quality volume for the total impervious area.



The Planning Board may choose to consider a condition of approval to guarantee that the bike path phase of the project is constructed or in the event it is not that the stormwater management design for the Eversource phase is brought into full compliance with the Town of Sudbury Stormwater Regulations.

VHB: The Applicants do not understand the recent comment from HW and would need clarification before any response can be given.

SW8. Consider installing infiltration (trench) swale the entire length on the downslope side of the path to facilitate meeting the standards 2,3,4 and 6 more fully.

<u>Sept. 18, 2020 (HW)</u>: VHB has suggested in its response that the stormwater management system has been designed to the maximum extent practicable. BETA has developed a Summary Table of the Areas without Treatment and provided low, medium, and high priority Recommendations. HW has reviewed BETA's Summary Table provided at the end of BETA's August 31, 2020 peer review letter and Tables 3-8 in VHB's Sudbury Stormwater Management Plan Narrative dated July 2020. It is HW's opinion that out of the 87 proposed watershed areas the following areas should be reevaluated at a minimum for additional treatment because the increase in flow is relatively significant and the practices discharge to cold water fisheries or vernal pools that may be impacted by an increase in flow or volume: Watersheds 5.14, 8.5, 9.1, 10.4, and 10.14. The table below illustrates these 5 watersheds with the peak flows in cubic feet per second (cfs) and peak volumes in acre-feet (af) for a 100-year storm event. Values for the other watershed areas and storm events can be found on pages 37-49 of the VHB Sudbury Stormwater Management Plan Narrative.

Watershed	Ex Peak Flow	Prop Peak Flow	Ex Volume	Prop Volume
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(af)	(af)
5.14	20.1	25.2	2.555	2.568
8.5	13.6	17.6	1.571	1.803
9.1	8.5	10.3	1.296	1.363
10.4	13.8	18.8	1.628	1.676
10.14	22.9	31.2	3.182	3.150

November 2020 (HW): The Applicant has evaluated 69 design points.

- The peak discharge rate for 33 of the 69 either remains the same or is reduced under proposed conditions.
- The peak discharge rate for 28 of the 69 will increase by less than 1.0 cfs for the 100-year storm event.
- The flow to 6 design points will increase by less than 1.8 cfs.
- Two design points will increase by less than 2.4 cfs.

The Applicant has proposed 16 stormwater practices of approximately 6,900 linear feet along the 4.3-mile corridor.



In our September 2020 review, HW highlighted 5 watersheds/design points which we requested that the Applicant reevaluate. Stormwater practices are proposed for four of the design points originally questioned 5.14, 8.5, 10.4 and 10.14, as well as design point 5.13. HW reached out to the Applicant on November 4 and asked for additional clarification regarding how these watersheds/design points were reevaluated. The Applicant submitted an additional document dated November 10, 2020 as clarification.

During the November 18, 2020 Planning Board hearing the Applicant described the 5 design points and the stormwater management proposed for each. The Board requested that the Applicant revisit watershed 10.14 and the size of the proposed basin. If feasible the Board requested that the proposed basin be increased to further reduce the discharge to the design point. The Board also requested that the Applicant revisit watershed 9.1 and consider sloping the bike path towards Sudbury Lumber and install a stormwater practice to reduce the discharge towards Hop Brook at this location.

It is HW's opinion that once the Applicant has revisited these two locations and provided its findings, the proposed stormwater management design for the proposed bike path complies with the Massachusetts Stormwater Standards to the maximum extent practicable.

Watershed	Ex Peak Flow	Prop Peak Flow	Ex Volume	Prop Volume
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(af)	(af)
5.14 (1.1 ac)	1.1	1.6	0.152	0.184
8.5 (4.2 ac)	13.2	13.3	1.305	1.295
9.1 (2.2 ac)	8.0	9.5	1.207	1.230
10.4 (4.8 ac)	6.3	7.4	0.627	0.627
10.14 (7.0 ac)	9.7	11.7	1.320	1.282

The revised Stormwater Management Report includes the following values:

VHB: The following information is provided to address the two watersheds.

#### Watershed 10.14

The Applicant has revisited watershed 10.14 and revised the design to increase the size of the proposed basin. The larger proposed basin results in a reduction of peak flow as compared to the previous submission and compared to existing conditions, as shown in the table below. This revised design increases the size of the stormwater basin by removing the previous conveyance swale between the bike path and the basin. The previous conveyance swale was not accounted for in previous water quality treatment calculations. The proposed basin now captures the swale flow within the basin while utilizing the space for increased detention and treatment. Updated treatment results and design calculations are shown in the table below and revised Appendix A and B attached.



Watershed 10.14 (7.0 ac)	Existing Condition	Proposed Revision 2	Proposed Dec 2020 Revision
100 Year Design Storm	9.7	11.7	11.0
Peak Flow (cfs)			
100 Year Design Storm	1.320	1.282	0.832
Discharge Volume (af)			
TSS Removal* (%)	-	27	89
Water Quality Volume Provided	-	414	2,589
(cu-ft)			
Recharge Volume Provided (cu-ft)	-	414	2,589

\*Runoff Volume Reduction is determined from the EPA's Massachusetts MS4 General Permit Appendix F, Attachment 2, BMP Performance Tables for Infiltration Trenches (Tables 3-4 through 3-8), and Infiltration Basins (Tables 3-10 and 3-14). TSS Removal rates were conservatively assumed to equal reported runoff volumes.

#### Watershed 9.1

The Applicant has also revisited the bike path design within watershed 9.1. The Applicant conducted a field visit in the area of watershed 9.1 on November 21, 2020, to further understand the existing conditions and determine if there were feasible solutions to implementing stormwater controls. As a result of this visit, the design of the bike path has been revised to be crowned from STA 704+00 to 709+75, which will result in half the width of the bike path (five feet), instead of the full width of the bike path, discharging directly into the adjacent tributary to Hop Brook. The other five feet of the bike path along this length will take advantage of the adjacent pervious area to infiltrate the sheet flow from the southerly portion of the bike path. Allowing the flow to infiltrate in the adjacent pervious area (i.e., an area of "impervious disconnection") will minimize tree removal and impact to abutting properties.

During the site visit it was also confirmed that the drainage from the abutting commercial properties to the south of the proposed bike path does not run onto the MBTA right-of-way, but rather is either collected through a closed drainage system or runs off to an existing depression on the Sudbury Lumber property.

Pictures from the site visit performed by the Applicant as well as specific limitations and opportunities are detailed below, broken out by stationing.

#### STA 700+00 to STA 704+00

Within this portion of watershed 9.1, there is limited area between the proposed bike path and the existing development (Sudbury Lumber) to implement structural stormwater controls. This narrow area can be seen in Figure 1 below which was taken standing at approximately STA 700+00. Figure 2 shows another example of the limited space between the existing railbed and fencing at approximately STA 703+50.

It was also infeasible to change the pitch of the bike path in this area between STA 700+00 and 704+00 without additional impacts to riverfront area, buffer zone and/or Bordering Land Subject



to Flooding (BLSF). These limitations are dictated by existing topographic constraints and the proximity of the abutting property. Examples of the topographic constraints described can be seen in Figure 3.



*Figure 1. This picture, taken standing west of Sudbury Lumber (red buildings) at approx. STA 602+50 and facing east shows the proximity of the existing tracks circled in red, to the lumberyard parking lot.* 





*Figure 2. Picture taken at approximately 703+50 facing southwesterly.* 





Figure 3. Bike path cross sections demonstrating topographic constraints to changing pitch of bike path in the area of STA 700+00 to 704+00. Changing the pitch of the bike path would require cutting and land disturbance, increasing buffer zone impacts to the south of the bike path or reduce flood storage capacity to the north.

Concerning the potential for run-on from the lumberyard to the proposed bike path, the field visit confirmed that water from the abutting property either discharges to a catch basin in the parking lot or collects at a low point within a gravel portion of the lumberyard property. A picture of the low point as well as a marked-up plan view of this area can be seen in Figures 4 and 5 respectively.





Figure 4. Low point where water is collected from Sudbury Lumber at approximately STA 701+00, facing northerly.



Figure 5. Plan view of westerly portion of watershed 9.1 with drainage pattern markups.



#### STA 704+00 to STA 709+75

The design of the bike path has been revised to be crowned from STA 704+00 to 709+75, which will result in half the width of the bike path (five feet) discharging directly into the adjacent Tributary to Hop Brook instead of the full width of the bike path. The other five feet of the bike path along this length will take advantage of the adjacent pervious area to infiltrate the sheet flow from the southerly portion of the bike path. Allowing the flow to infiltrate in the adjacent pervious area (i.e., impervious disconnection) will minimize tree removal and impact to abutting properties. In this portion of watershed 9.1 it was also confirmed through the November 21<sup>st</sup> site visit that the catch basin in the lumberyard property drains directly to the Tributary to Hop Brook. Figures 6 and 7 show the pervious portions between STA 704+00 and STA 709+75 where impervious disconnection is achievable. As seen in the pictures, these areas are heavily vegetated and would require clearing in order to implement other structural stormwater controls.



*Figure 6. Picture of the pervious area adjacent to existing rail bed, facing easterly. Picture taken in the area of STA 704+00 to 706+00.* 





Figure 7. Picture of the pervious area adjacent to existing rail bed, facing easterly Picture taken in the area of STA 706+00 to 708+00.

In addition to the benefits of impervious disconnection, a natural depression exists within this length of watershed 9.1 from STA 706+75 to 707+00 that serves to detain and treat stormwater. Figure 8 highlights this depression in a cross section view.





Figure 8. Cross section view of existing depression on southern side of proposed bike path and STA 707+00.

#### STA 709+75 to 711+60

The portion of the proposed bike path between STA 709+75 and 711+60 was not crowned to avoid additional riverfront area and buffer zone impacts. These impacts are dictated by existing topographic constraints and the proximity of the abutting property. Examples of the topographic constraints described can be seen in cross section view (Figure 9) and pictures (Figure 10) below).



Figure 9. Bike path cross sections demonstrating topographic constraints to changing pitch of bike path in the area of STA 709+75 to 711+60. Changing the pitch of the bike path would require cutting and land disturbance to the south of the bike path, increasing resource area impacts.





Figure 10. Picture of topographic and spatial constraint of abutting property to altering bike path design (facing northeasterly). Modifications to the bike path design would require additional land disturbance and vegetation removal. Picture taken at approximately STA 709+25.

SW21. Tabulate comparison of runoff volume to each watershed for pre- and post-development conditions. The Site is abutted by low-lying areas and thus risk of flooding must be considered (8.0(A)(3)(i)).

<u>Sept. 18, 2020 (HW)</u>: VHB provided the runoff volumes in a table as requested. BETA has suggested that there are numerous watersheds with an increase in volume that should be reevaluated. It is HW's opinion that at a minimum the following watershed areas be reevaluated for additional treatment because the increase in flow is relatively significant and the practices discharge to cold water fisheries or vernal pools that may be impacted by an increase in flow or volume. This is a concern for Watersheds 5.14, 8.5, 9.1, 10.4, and 10.14.

<u>November 2020 (HW)</u>: The Applicant has reevaluated the watersheds as requested. See response to SW8.

VHB: Please see response to SW8.

SW28. Conduct test pit/borings at the location of each proposed "area of increased infiltration" to verify soil conditions, infiltration rates, and groundwater levels.

<u>Sept. 18, 2020 (HW)</u>: VHB has provided some test borings conducted along the 4.8-mile length of corridor to be developed. BETA has recommended additional testing be conducted to verify



the soils for a few of the areas of increased infiltration. Furthermore, BETA has recommended that a condition be included requiring that additional soil testing be conducted during construction and provided to the Town for review. HW agrees that additional soil testing during construction is valuable and requiring the testing as a condition of approval is appropriate.

<u>November 2020 (HW)</u>: HW recommends that the Planning Board include a condition of approval requiring additional soil testing be conducted during construction in the vicinity of Station 502+00, Station 511+00, Station 570+00, and Station 579+00.

VHB: The Applicants can agree to a condition to conduct additional soil testing during construction to confirm assumptions relative to soil conditions, infiltration rates, and groundwater levels in the vicinity of Station 505+00, Station 511+00, Station 570+00, and Station 579+00.

SW35. Provide draft copy Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan SWPPP for review.

<u>Sept. 18, 2020 (HW)</u>: VHB has provided a draft copy of the SWPPP as requested. BETA has recommended that the final SWPPP be provided to the Town prior to construction and has listed several items to be included. HW agrees that the final SWPPP should be provided to the Town with all applicable attachments.

<u>November 2020 (HW)</u>: HW recommends that the Planning Board include a condition of approval requiring the Applicant to provide a final SWPPP prior to land disturbance.

VHB: The Applicants can agree to a special condition requiring submission of the final SWPPP to the Planning Board prior to start of construction.

SW39. Provide perimeter erosion controls along the south side of the Site near stations 391+50, 405, 516, 545 through 555, 557, 565, and 753, and the north side of the Site near stations 565 through 569 and 580 through 585.

<u>Sept. 18, 2020 (HW)</u>: VHB is not in agreement with BETA's need for additional erosion controls. HW recommends that a preconstruction visit be a condition of approval at which time the acceptance of the location of the erosion control barrier along the perimeter can be finalized. However, it should be clear in the bid documents that a representative from the Town of Sudbury may require additional perimeter controls at numerous locations.

<u>November 2020 (HW)</u>: HW recommends that the Planning Board include a condition of approval requiring the Applicant to conduct a preconstruction meeting with a Town Representative to confirm the final placement of erosion controls.

VHB: The Applicants can agree to this condition.

SW40. Provide a construction phasing plan that limits the area of the Site disturbed at any one time to mitigate environmental impacts and risk of erosion.

<u>Sept. 18, 2020 (HW)</u>: VHB stated that the construction schedule will be determined by the Contractor once one is engaged. BETA defers to the Town as to the need for a construction



schedule. HW recommends that a preconstruction visit be a condition of approval at which time the construction schedule and acceptance of erosion control barrier can be finalized.

<u>November 2020 (HW)</u>: HW recommends that the Planning Board include a condition of approval requiring the Applicant to conduct a preconstruction meeting with a Town Representative to confirm the construction schedule and the final placement of erosion controls.

VHB: The Applicants can agree to a condition requiring a preconstruction visit at which time the construction schedule and acceptance of erosion control barriers can be finalized.

SW41. Provide measures to protect infiltration systems during construction.

<u>Sept. 18, 2020 (HW)</u>: VHB has stated that the infiltration basins will not be used as sediment basins during construction. BETA has requested additional assurance and a construction schedule. To verify that the infiltration basins do not receive excessive sediment during construction, HW recommends that the basins be protected by an erosion control barrier or constructed after the gravel base layer is complete.

<u>November 2020 (HW)</u>: HW recommends that the Planning Board include a condition of approval requiring the Applicant to protect the infiltration areas with erosion control barriers during construction.

VHB: The Applicants can agree to a condition to protect the infiltration areas with erosion control barriers during construction.

SW51. Provide illicit discharge compliance statement signed by the Owner.

<u>Sept. 18, 2020 (HW)</u>: VHB has agreed to provide a signed illicit discharge statement once construction is complete. The MSH Volume 1, Chapter 1, page 25 states that the illicit discharge statement should be provided prior to the discharge of stormwater runoff to the post-construction stormwater best management practices. HW recommends that the signed statement be provided prior to any land disturbance.

<u>November 2020 (HW)</u>: HW recommends that the Planning Board include a condition of approval stating that the Applicant will provide a signed illicit discharge statement prior to land disturbance.

VHB: The Applicants can agree to provide the signed statement prior to any land disturbance.

#### Additional HW comment Sept. 18, 2020:

During the site walk, HW observed the two 36-inch corrugated metal culverts at approximately Station 539 + 50, to allow the passage of Dudley Brook. The metal culverts were showing signs of deterioration. HW recommends that further investigation be conducted to verify the long term functionality of these culverts and the possibility of repairing them be considered.



<u>November 2020 (HW)</u>: HW recommends that the Planning Board consider a condition of approval stating that "a structural engineer is to inspect the culverts as noted in the Culvert Structure Assessment Memorandum from 2017 prior to any land disturbance. The Assessment is to be updated and culverts noted to be retained shall be protected and cleaned. Culverts found that require replacement shall be replaced with a crossing that meets the MA Stream Crossing Standards as accepted by the Conservation Commission."

VHB: The Applicants can agree to this special condition.

Sincerely,

Cemp and

Katie Kinsella and Gene Crouch

CC: Denise Bartone, Eversource Paul Jahnige, DCR

Attachments:

Revised Appendix A & B Updated Eversource Plan Sheets Updated DCR Plan Sheets

# Appendix A – Standard 1 Computations and Supporting Information



Project Name: Sudbury Hudson Eversource

Project Location: Sudbury/Hudson, MA

 Proj. No.:
 14009.00

 Date:
 July 2020

 Calculated by:
 AHF

 Checked by:
 MAC

 Oct 2020 REV.:
 AHF



#### **FES 10**

#### STA 533+46, 14.9' RT

Calculations completed using FHWA Hydraulic Toolbox 4.1

Riprap Analysis				×
Structure type: Culvert Outlet Protection		-		Geotextile/Granular Filter Design
Parameter	Value	Units	Notes	
Channel Parameters				
Select Channel	<define data="" local=""></define>			
	Channel Calculator			
Input Parameters				
	Transfer Values From Channel Calculator			
Flow	0.400	cfs		
Culvert Diameter	1.000	ft		
Normal Depth in Culvert	0.269	ft		
Tailwater Depth	0.000	ft	If tailwater is unknown, use 0.4D	
Flow Type	subcritical 💌			
Results				
D50	0.175	in		
D50	0.015	ft	The sizing equation assumes a rock s.g.	=2.65. If s.g. is not 2.65, rock size (D
Riprap Shape	Riprap shape should be angular			
Riprap Class				
Riprap Class Name	CLASS I			
Riprap Class Order	1			
D15	4.50	in	This value is an 'average' of the size frac	tion range for the selected ripraap class
D50	6.50	in	This value is an 'average' of the size frac	tion range for the selected ripraap class
D85	9.00	in	This value is an 'average' of the size frac	tion range for the selected ripraap class
D100	12.00	in	This value is an 'average' of the size frac	tion range for the selected ripraap class
Layout				
Apron Length	4.000	ft		
Apron Depth	0.000	ft		
Apron Width (at apron end)	5.667	ft		
Computation Variables				
Tailwater Depth Used in Computations	0.400	ft		
Culvert Diameter Used in Calculations	1.000	ft		

#### **Stone Protection Dimensions:**

X =	4.0 ft.
Y =	5.7 ft.
Z =	12.0 in. (Minimum)
d <sub>50</sub> =	6.5 in.

HW 11

#### STA 713+63, 10.0' LT

Headwall 11 is replacing an existing headwall which is currently stable from erosion. Riprap is not proposed given there is no erosion under existing conditions and to reduce impacts to the abutting wetland.



Project Name: Sudbury Hudson Eversource

Project Location: Sudbury/Hudson, MA

 Proj. No.:
 14009.00

 Date:
 July 2020

 Calculated by:
 AHF

 Checked by:
 MAC

 Oct 2020 REV::
 AHF

HW 12	STA 713+63, 10.0' RT
	Headwall 12 is replacing an existing headwall which is currently stable from erosion. Riprap is not proposed given there is no erosion under existing conditions and to reduce impacts to the abutting wetland.
HW 13	STA 738+77, 12.0' LT
	Headwall 13 is replacing an existing headwall which is currently stable from erosion. The existing headwall does not experience erosion under existing conditions. The headwall is an upstream inlet of a low point along the right-of-way and is not expected to experience erosion as a result of the project.

HW 14

#### STA 738+77, 15.4' RT

Calculations completed using FHWA Hydraulic Toolbox 4.1

structure type: Culvert Outlet Protection		•	Geotextile/Granular Filter Design
Parameter	Value	Units	Notes
Channel Parameters			
Select Channel	<define data="" local=""></define>		
	Channel Calculator		
input Parameters			
	Transfer Values From Channel Calculator		
Flow	5.500	cfs	
Culvert Diameter	1.500	ft	
Normal Depth in Culvert	0.992	ft	
Tailwater Depth	0.000	ft	If tailwater is unknown, use 0.4D
Flow Type	subcritical 💌		
Results			
D50	2.236	in	
D50	0.186	ft	The sizing equation assumes a rock s.g.=2.65. If s.g. is not 2.65, rock size (
Riprap Shape	Riprap shape should be angular		
Riprap Class			
Riprap Class Name	CLASS I		
Riprap Class Order	1		
D15	4.50	in	This value is an 'average' of the size fraction range for the selected ripraap d
D50	6.50	in	This value is an 'average' of the size fraction range for the selected ripraap d
D85	9.00	in	This value is an 'average' of the size fraction range for the selected ripraap d
D100	12.00	in	This value is an 'average' of the size fraction range for the selected ripraap d
ayout			
Apron Length	6.000	ft	
Apron Depth	0.000	ft	
Apron Width (at apron end)	8.500	ft	
Computation Variables			
Tailwater Depth Used in Computations	0.600	ft	
		<b>A</b>	

ne Protection Dime	nsions:	
X =	6.0 ft.	
Y =	8.5 ft.	
Z =	12.0 in. (Minimum)	
d <sub>50</sub> =	6.5 in.	



Project Name: Sudbury Hudson Eversource

Project Location: Sudbury/Hudson, MA

 Proj. No.:
 14009.00

 Date:
 July 2020

 Calculated by:
 AHF

 Checked by:
 MAC

 Oct 2020 REV.:
 AHF

DP-10.4A	STA 722+27, 17' RT Stone Protection with an energy dissipation bowl will be placed following the terminating check dam out of the swale. Energy dissipation blow is sized using U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration: Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 14, Third Edition. Hydraulic Design of Energy Dissipators for Culverts and Channels; Equation 10.6				
	$D_{50} = \frac{0.692}{S-1} \left( \frac{V^2}{2g} \right) \qquad \text{where,} \\ V = \text{ welocity at the exit of the dissipator, m/s (ft/s)} \\ S = \text{ riprap specific gravity}$				
	$v =$ 2.64 ft/second $v =$ 0.8 meter/second $S =$ 2.65 $d_{50} =$ 0.00 meter $d_{50}$ (in) =       0.17 <u>Use 6.5" D50 minimum</u> $X =$ 6.0 ft. (Minimum) $Y =$ 8.5 ft. (Minimum) $Z =$ 14.0 in. (Minimum)				
DP-10.4B	STA 720+00 Flow stability calculation for DP-10.14B conveyance swale was provided in Appendix A of the previous submission. This calculation was very conservative as the full watershed is routed through the 100-foot swale on edge of watershed; based on the existing grade in the area, only a small portion of this watershed is expect to reach this swale. This conservative calculation showed that although the flow of this watershed is higher than that of other Design Points, the calculated erosive velocities are less than that of the erosion-resisting capacity of the proposed vegetation. This swale is considered stable to possible erosion and will remain as is.				
DP-10.13A	$\frac{\text{STA 753+48, 9' LT}}{\text{Stone Protection with an energy dissipation bowl will be placed following the terminating check dam out of the swale. Energy dissipation blow is sized using U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration: Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 14, Third Edition. Hydraulic Design of Energy Dissipators for Culverts and Channels; Equation 10.6 HEC 14. Equation 10.6 D_{50} = \frac{0.692}{S-1} \left( \frac{V^2}{2g} \right) \qquad \text{where,} \\ D_{50} = \text{median rock size, m (ft)} \\ V = \text{velocity at the exit of the dissipator, m/s (ft/s)} \\ S = \text{riprap specific gravity}$				
	$v =$ 2.96 ft/second $v =$ 0.9 meter/second $S =$ 2.65 $d_{50} =$ 0.01 meter $d_{50}$ (in) =       0.21 <u>Use 6.5" D50 minimum</u> $X =$ 6.0 ft. (Minimum) $Y =$ 8.5 ft. (Minimum) $Z =$ 14.0 in. (Minimum)				



Project Name: Sudbury Hudson Eversource

Project Location: Sudbury/Hudson, MA

 Proj. No.:
 14009.00

 Date:
 July 2020

 Calculated by:
 AHF

 Checked by:
 MAC

 Oct 2020 REV.:
 AHF

#### DP-10.14B

#### STA 763+13, 10' RT

A riprap apron will be placed following the swale. The riprap apron is sized using U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration: Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 14, Third Edition. Hydraulic Design of Energy Dissipators for Culverts and Channels; as shown by the FHWA Hydraulic Toolbox 4.1

Structure type: Culvert Outlet Protection			Geotextile/Granular Filter Design
Parameter	Value	Units	Notes
Channel Parameters			
Select Channel	<define data="" local=""></define>	1	
	Channel Calculator		
input Parameters			
	Transfer Values From Channel Calculator		
Flow	1.600	cfs	
Culvert Diameter	1.500	ft	
Normal Depth in Culvert	0.083	ft	
Tailwater Depth	0.083	ft	If tailwater is unknown, use 0.4D
Flow Type	subcritical 💌		
lesults			
D50	0.431	in	
D50	0.036	ft	The sizing equation assumes a rock s.g.=2.65. If s.g. is not 2.65, rock size (D.
liprap Shape	Riprap shape should be angular		
liprap Class			
Riprap Class Name	CLASS I		
Riprap Class Order	1		
D15	4.50	in	This value is an 'average' of the size fraction range for the selected ripraap class
D50	6.50	in	This value is an 'average' of the size fraction range for the selected ripraap class
D85	9.00	in	This value is an 'average' of the size fraction range for the selected ripraap class
D100	12.00	in	This value is an 'average' of the size fraction range for the selected ripraap class
ayout			
Apron Length	6.000	ft	
Apron Depth	0.000	ft	
Apron Width (at apron end)	8.500	ft	
omputation Variables			
Tailwater Depth Used in Computations	0.600	ft	
Culvert Diameter Used in Calculations	1.500	ft	

Stone Protection Dimensions:				
	X =	6.0 ft.		
	Y =	8.5 ft.		
	Z =	14.0 in. (Min	nimum)	
	d <sub>50</sub> =	6.5		

#### DP-10.15A

#### STA 767+10, 10' RT

A riprap apron will be placed following the swale. The riprap apron is sized using U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration: Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 14, Third Edition. Hydraulic Design of Energy Dissipators for Culverts and Channels; as shown by the FHWA Hydraulic Toolbox 4.1

🚺 Riprap Analysis - 10.15A				
Structure type: Culvert Outlet Protection   Geotextile/Granular Filter Design				
Parameter	Value	Units	Notes	
Channel Parameters				

Hydraulic Toolbox\\vhb\gbl\proj\Wat-TE\14009.00 Sudbury-Hudson Eng\tech\Stormwater\Stone Protection Calcs\14009.00 - Stone Protection - REV2\_Dec2020 Update.xls Page 4 of 5



Project Name: Sudbury Hudson Eversource

 Proj. No.:
 14009.00

 Date:
 July 2020

 Calculated by:
 AHF

 Checked by:
 MAC

 Oct 2020 REV.:
 AHF

Project Location: Sudbury/Hudson, MA

Select Channel	<create new=""></create>		
	Channel Calculator		
Input Parameters			
	Transfer Values From Channel Calculator		
Flow	0.800	cfs	
Culvert Diameter	1.500	ft	
Normal Depth in Culvert	0.500	ft	
Tailwater Depth	0.500	ft	If tailwater is unknown, use 0.4D
Flow Type	subcritical 🗸		
Results			
D50	0.171	in	
D50	0.014	ft	The sizing equation assumes a rock s.g. =2.65. If s.g. is not 2.65, rock size (D.
Riprap Shape	Riprap shape should be angular		
Riprap Class			
Riprap Class Name	CLASS I		
Riprap Class Order	1		
D15	4.50	in	This value is an 'average' of the size fraction range for the selected ripraap class
D50	6.50	in	This value is an 'average' of the size fraction range for the selected ripraap class
D85	9.00	in	This value is an 'average' of the size fraction range for the selected ripraap dat
D100	12.00	in	This value is an 'average' of the size fraction range for the selected ripraap dat
Layout			
Apron Length	6.000	ft	
Apron Depth	0.000	ft	
Apron Width (at apron end)	8.500	ft	
Computation Variables			
Tailwater Depth Used in Computations	0.600	ft	
	1 500	ft	

- X = 6.0 ft. Y = 8.5 ft.
- Z = 14.0 in. (Minimum)
- d<sub>50</sub> = 6.5 in.



#### Flow Stability Calculations: Conveyance Swales

Proj. No.: 14009.00

Date: July 2020

Calculated by: RPL

Checked by: AHF Oct 2020 REV.: AHF

-																				
					Cł	nannel Geome	try													
															Max. Shear		Cover Factor			
		Avg.								Mean	Grass				Stress in	Permissible Soil	Values for		Permissible	
	Design	Bottom	Channel	Channel		Channel		Wetted		Boundary Shear	Roughness	Mannings		ls O1	Channel @	Shear Stress	Uniform	Soil Grain	Vegetation/Soil	Is the lining
	Flow 'Q'	Width 'B'	Side Slone	Side Slone	Channel Slope	Depth 'd' (ft)	Cross Sectional	Perimeter 'P'	Hvdraulic	Stress 'to'	Coefficient 'Cn'	Roughness 'n'	Flow 'Q <sub>1</sub> '	within 5%	depth 't <sub>d</sub> '	'τ <sub>p,soil</sub> ' (lb/sq. ft)	Strands of	Roughness 'n <sub>s</sub> '	Shear Stress 't,'	Stable?
BMP ID:	(cfs)	(ft)	'Z <sub>1</sub> ' (ft)	'Z <sub>2</sub> ' (ft)	'S₀' (ft/ft)	1	Area 'A' (sq. ft)	(ft)	Radius 'R' (ft)	(lb/sq. ft)	2	3	(cfs)	of Q?	(lb/sq. ft)	4	Grass 'C <sub>f</sub> ' 5	6	(lb/sq. ft)	(Y/N)
DP-5.6	1.10	1.0	6.0	2.0	0.0160	0.387	0.99	4.22	0.23	0.23	0.168	0.064	1.10	YES	0.386	0.020	0.700	0.016	1.07	YES
DP-5.7	0.10	7.5	6.0	2.0	0.0032	0.115	0.91	8.45	0.11	0.02	0.168	0.166	0.10	YES	0.023	0.020	0.700	0.016	7.19	YES
DP-5.9	0.00	7.0	6.0	2.0	0.0045		0.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.168				0.000	0.020	0.700	0.016		Flow = 0.0
DP-5.11	0.00	5.0	6.0	2.0	0.0100		0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.168				0.000	0.020	0.700	0.016		Flow = 0.0
DP-5.12	1.90	8.5	6.0	2.0	0.0340	0.157	1.43	9.80	0.15	0.31	0.168	0.057	1.90	YES	0.332	0.020	0.700	0.016	0.85	YES
DP-5.13	1.90	1.0	6.0	2.0	0.0062	0.659	2.40	6.48	0.37	0.14	0.168	0.078	1.86	YES	0.255	0.020	0.700	0.016	1.58	YES
DP-6.1B	0.00	5.5	6.0	2.0	0.0270		0.00	5.50	0.00	0.00	0.168				0.000	0.020	0.700	0.016		Flow = 0.0
DP-6.7	1.10	7.5	6.0	2.0	0.0225	0.153	1.24	8.77	0.14	0.20	0.168	0.068	1.10	YES	0.215	0.020	0.700	0.016	1.22	YES
DP-6.7	1.10	3.0	6.0	2.0	0.0387	0.186	0.70	4.55	0.15	0.37	0.168	0.053	1.10	YES	0.450	0.020	0.700	0.016	0.74	YES
DP-7.2	0.10	1.0	6.0	2.0	0.0400	0.099	0.14	1.82	0.08	0.19	0.168	0.070	0.10	YES	0.246	0.020	0.700	0.016	1.27	YES
DP-8.1B	0.00	5.0	6.0	2.0	0.0024		0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.168				0.000	0.020	0.700	0.016		Flow = 0.0
DP-10.4A	4.00	3.0	6.0	2.0	0.0083	0.614	3.35	8.10	0.41	0.21	0.168	0.066	3.80	YES	0.318	0.020	0.700	0.016	1.15	YES
DP-10.4B	6.40	3.0	6.0	2.0	0.0173	0.566	2.98	7.71	0.39	0.42	0.168	0.051	6.10	YES	0.611	0.020	0.700	0.016	0.67	YES
DP-10.14B	1.60	5.0	6.0	2.0	0.0103	0.310	1.93	7.58	0.26	0.16	0.168	0.074	1.60	YES	0.199	0.020	0.700	0.016	1.42	YES

1) Channel Depth 'd' is determined by the iteritive process as shown in Chapter 3: General Design Procedures in HEC-15.

Project Name: Sudbury Hudson Eversource

Project Location: Sudbury/Hudson, MA

2) Grass Roughness Coefficient 'Cn' determined from Table 4.3 in HEC-15.

3) Manning's Roughness 'n' is determined from Equation 4.2 in HEC-15 and is a function of the Mean Boundary Shear Stress 'to'.

4) Permissible Soil Shear Stress 'tp.soil' is conservatively estimated as 0.02 lb/sq.ft per section 4.3.2.1 Non-Cohesive Soils in HEC-15, as site soils are found to be silty sands.

5) Cover Factor Values for Uniform Strands of Grass 'Cf' is selected from Table 4.5 in HEC-15 for 'Mixed in Fair Condition'.

6) 'Soil Grain Roughness 'ns' is conservatively estimated as 0.016 for D<sub>75</sub> < 0.05 inches per Section 4.3.1 Effective Shear Stress in HEC-15

# Appendix B – Standard 2 Computations and Supporting Information

The rainfall-runoff response of the Site under existing and proposed conditions was evaluated for storm events with recurrence intervals of 2, 10, 25 and 100-years. Rainfall volumes used for this analysis were based on the Stormwater Management Bylaw Regulations for the Town of Sudbury: 3.2, 4.8, 6.0, and 8.6 inches, respectively. Runoff coefficients for the pre- and post-development conditions, as previously shown in Tables 1 and 2 respectively, were determined using NRCS Technical Release 55 (TR-55) methodology as provided in HydroCAD. Drainage areas used in the analyses were described in previous sections and shown on Figures 2 and 3. The HydroCAD model is based on the NRCS Technical Release 20 (TR-20) Model for Project Formulation Hydrology.



PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_FullType III 24-hr1" Rainfall=1.00"Prepared by VHBPrinted12/1/2020HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 2

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentPR10.14A:Runoff Area=4.071 ac 13.44% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.00"<br/>Flow Length=123' Tc=19.0 min CN=40 Runoff=0.0 cfs 0.000 afSubcatchmentPR10.14B:Runoff Area=2.916 ac 33.15% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.00"<br/>Flow Length=664' Tc=11.9 min CN=56 Runoff=0.0 cfs 0.000 afPond PR10.14B: A: Landham Road BMP: Cell A<br/>Discarded=0.0 cfs 0.000 af Primary=0.0 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.0 cfs 0.000 af

Pond PR10.14B: B: Landham Road BMP: Cell B Peak Elev=139.00' Storage=0 cf Inflow=0.0 cfs 0.000 af Discarded=0.0 cfs 0.000 af Primary=0.0 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.0 cfs 0.000 af

Inflow=0.0 cfs 0.000 af Primary=0.0 cfs 0.000 af

Link DP10.14: Wetland 3\_Vernal Pool 1

Total Runoff Area = 6.987 ac Runoff Volume = 0.000 af Average Runoff Depth = 0.00" 78.33% Pervious = 5.473 ac 21.67% Impervious = 1.514 ac

#### Summary for Subcatchment PR10.14A:

Runoff = 0.0 cfs @ 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af, Depth= 0.00"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 1" Rainfall=1.00"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription						
0	.391	39	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG A				
0	.031	30	Mea	eadow, non-grazed, HSG A						
0	.547	98	Pave	aved parking, HSG A						
3	.101	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A					
4	.071	40	Weig	phted Aver	age					
3	3.524 86.56% Pervious Area									
0	.547		13.4	4% Imperv	/ious Area					
Tc	Lengt	h	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
(min)	(feet	t)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
17.1	5	0 0	.0340	0.05		Sheet Flow,				
						Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.30"				
1.9	7	30	.0658	0.64		Shallow Concentrated Flow,				
						Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps				
19.0	12	3 T	otal							

#### Summary for Subcatchment PR10.14B:

Runoff = 0.0 cfs @ 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af, Depth= 0.00"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 1" Rainfall=1.00"

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription					
0.	872	39 >75	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG A			
0.	044	76 Gra	vel roads, l	HSG A				
0.	135	30 Mea	eadow, non-grazed, HSG A					
0.	967	98 Pav	aved parking, HSG A					
0.	898	<u>30 Wo</u>	ods, Good,	HSG A				
2.	2.916 56 Weighted Average							
1.	950	66.8	35% Pervio	us Area				
0.	967	33.′	5% Imperv	vious Area				
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
4.7	50	0.2120	0.18		Sheet Flow,			
0.1	11	0.3270	2.86		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30" <b>Shallow Concentrated Flow,</b> Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps			
7.1	603	0.0090	1.42		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
					Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps			
11.9	664	Total						

#### Summary for Pond PR10.14B: A: Landham Road BMP: Cell A

Inflow Area	=	2.916 ac, 33.	15% Impervious, Inflow De	pth = 0.00"	for 1" event
Inflow	=	0.0 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af	
Outflow	=	0.0 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min
Discarded	=	0.0 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af	
Primary	=	0.0 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 140.00'@ 0.00 hrs Surf.Area= 533 sf Storage= 0 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: initial storage exceeds outflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= (not calculated: no inflow)

Volume	Inve	rt Avail.St	orage Storag	ge Description					
#1	140.0	0' 2,3	363 cf Cell A	A (West) (Prismatic)Listed below (Recalc)					
Elevatio (fee	on et)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)					
140.0	)0	533	0	0					
141.0	00	1,148	841	841					
141.5	50	1,460	652	1,493					
142.0	00	2,023	871	2,363					
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devi	ices					
#1	Primary	141.50	<b>8.0' long (</b> Head (feet) Coef. (Engl	(Profile 30) Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir ) 0.49 0.98 1.48 Ilish) 3.80 3.86 3.86					
#2	Discarde	d 140.00	1.020 in/hr	r Exfiltration over Surface area					
Discord	Neverlad OutFlow Max-0.0 of @ 0.00 hrs. LIN/=140.00' (Free Discharge)								

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.0 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=140.00' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Passes 0.0 cfs of 0.0 cfs potential flow)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.0 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=140.00' (Free Discharge) ←1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir( Controls 0.0 cfs)

#### Summary for Pond PR10.14B: B: Landham Road BMP: Cell B

Inflow Area	=	2.916 ac, 33.	15% Impervious, Inflow De	pth = 0.00"	for 1" event
Inflow	=	0.0 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af	
Outflow	=	0.0 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af, Atte	n= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min
Discarded	=	0.0 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af	
Primary	=	0.0 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 4 Peak Elev= 139.00' @ 0.00 hrs Surf.Area= 350 sf Storage= 0 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: initial storage exceeds outflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= (not calculated: no inflow) PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_Full Prepared by VHB

HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Volume	Inve	rt Avail.Stor	rage Storage	Description					
#1	139.00	D' 1,09	97 cf Cell B (E	Eastern) (Prism	atic)Listed below (Recalc)				
Elevation (feet 139.00	n S :) 0	Surf.Area (sq-ft) 350	Inc.Store (cubic-feet) 0	Cum.Store (cubic-feet) 0					
140.0 140.5	0 0	849 1,140	600 497	600 1,097					
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	S					
#1	Primary	140.50'	<b>5.5' long (Pro</b> Head (feet) 0 Coef (English	ofile 30) Broad .49 0.98 1.48	Crested Rectangular Weir				
#2	2 Discarded 139.00' <b>1.020 in/hr Exfiltration</b>		filtration over	Surface area					
Discarde	Discarded OutFlow Max=0.0 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=139.00' (Free Discharge)								

**2=Exfiltration** (Passes 0.0 cfs of 0.0 cfs potential flow)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.0 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=139.00' (Free Discharge) ☐ 1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir( Controls 0.0 cfs)

#### Summary for Link DP10.14: Wetland 3\_Vernal Pool 1

Inflow A	Area =	6.987 ac, 21	.67% Impervious, Inflow	Depth = 0.00"	for 1" event
Inflow	=	0.0 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af	
Primary	/ =	0.0 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af, Att	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_FullType III 24-hr2-yr Rainfall=3.30"Prepared by VHBPrinted 12/1/2020HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 6

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentPR10.14A:Runoff Area=4.071 ac 13.44% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.01"<br/>Flow Length=123' Tc=19.0 min CN=40 Runoff=0.0 cfs 0.002 afSubcatchmentPR10.14B:Runoff Area=2.916 ac 33.15% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.31"<br/>Flow Length=664' Tc=11.9 min CN=56 Runoff=0.4 cfs 0.076 af<br/>Discarded=0.0 cfs 0.066 af Primary=0.1 cfs 0.009 af Outflow=0.1 cfs 0.076 af

Pond PR10.14B: B: Landham Road BMP: Cell B Peak Elev=139.44' Storage=203 cf Inflow=0.1 cfs 0.009 af Discarded=0.0 cfs 0.009 af Primary=0.0 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.0 cfs 0.009 af

Inflow=0.0 cfs 0.002 af Primary=0.0 cfs 0.002 af

Link DP10.14: Wetland 3\_Vernal Pool 1

Total Runoff Area = 6.987 ac Runoff Volume = 0.078 af Average Runoff Depth = 0.13" 78.33% Pervious = 5.473 ac 21.67% Impervious = 1.514 ac PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_FullType III 24-hr2-yr Rainfall=3.30"Prepared by VHBPrinted 12/1/2020HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 7

#### Summary for Subcatchment PR10.14A:

Runoff = 0.0 cfs @ 23.20 hrs, Volume= 0.002 af, Depth= 0.01"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.30"

 Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription						
0.	391	39	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG A				
0.	031	30	Mea	eadow, non-grazed, HSG A						
0.	547	98	Pave	aved parking, HSG A						
 3.	101	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A					
 4.	071	40	Weig	hted Aver	age					
3.	524		86.5	6% Pervio	us Area					
0.	547		13.4	4% Imperv	ious Area					
Tc	Lengt	h -	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
 (min)	(feet	:)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
17.1	5	0 0	.0340	0.05		Sheet Flow,				
						Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.30"				
1.9	7	30	.0658	0.64		Shallow Concentrated Flow,				
						Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps				
19.0	12	3 T	otal							

#### Summary for Subcatchment PR10.14B:

Runoff = 0.4 cfs @ 12.39 hrs, Volume= 0.076 af, Depth= 0.31"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.30"

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription					
0.	872	39 >75	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG A			
0.	044	76 Gra	vel roads, l	HSG A				
0.	135	30 Mea	eadow, non-grazed, HSG A					
0.	967	98 Pav	aved parking, HSG A					
0.	898	<u>30 Wo</u>	ods, Good,	HSG A				
2.	2.916 56 Weighted Average							
1.	950	66.8	35% Pervio	us Area				
0.	967	33.′	5% Imperv	vious Area				
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
4.7	50	0.2120	0.18		Sheet Flow,			
0.1	11	0.3270	2.86		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30" <b>Shallow Concentrated Flow,</b> Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps			
7.1	603	0.0090	1.42		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
					Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps			
11.9	664	Total						

#### Summary for Pond PR10.14B: A: Landham Road BMP: Cell A

Inflow Area	=	2.916 ac, 33	3.15% Impe	ervious, Inflow D	$Depth = 0.3^{\circ}$	1" for	2-yr event	t
Inflow	=	0.4 cfs @	12.39 hrs,	Volume=	0.076 af			
Outflow	=	0.1 cfs @	15.49 hrs,	Volume=	0.076 af,	Atten=	79%, Lag	= 186.3 min
Discarded	=	0.0 cfs @	15.49 hrs,	Volume=	0.066 af			
Primary	=	0.1 cfs @	15.49 hrs,	Volume=	0.009 af			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 141.51' @ 15.49 hrs Surf.Area= 1,473 sf Storage= 1,510 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 495.2 min calculated for 0.076 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 495.3 min (1,442.2 - 947.0)

Volume	Inve	rt Avail.Sto	rage Storag	e Description	
#1	140.0	0' 2,30	63 cf Cell A	(West) (Prismatic)Listed be	low (Recalc)
Elevation (feet	n s	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
140.00 141.00 141.50 142.00	0 0 0 0	533 1,148 1,460 2,023	0 841 652 871	0 841 1,493 2,363	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devic	es	
#1	Primary	141.50'	8.0' long (F Head (feet) Coef. (Engli	Profile 30) Broad-Crested R 0.49 0.98 1.48 sh) 3.80 3.86 3.86	ectangular Weir
#2	Discalue	u 140.00	1.020 111/11		ea

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.0 cfs @ 15.49 hrs HW=141.51' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.0 cfs)

#### Summary for Pond PR10.14B: B: Landham Road BMP: Cell B

Inflow Area	ı =	2.916 ac, 3	3.15% Impe	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	0.0	4" for	2-yr	event		
Inflow	=	0.1 cfs @	15.49 hrs,	Volume	<del>)</del> =	0.009	af					
Outflow	=	0.0 cfs @	18.09 hrs,	Volume	<del>)</del> =	0.009	af,	Atten=	74%,	Lag=	155.8	min
Discarded	=	0.0 cfs @	18.09 hrs,	Volume	<del>)</del> =	0.009	af			-		
Primary	=	0.0 cfs @	0.00 hrs,	Volume	<del>)</del> =	0.000	af					

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 4 Peak Elev= 139.44' @ 18.09 hrs Surf.Area= 571 sf Storage= 203 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 176.3 min calculated for 0.009 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 176.3 min (1,192.1 - 1,015.8) PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_Full Type III 24-Prepared by VHB

 Type III 24-hr
 2-yr Rainfall=3.30"

 Printed
 12/1/2020

 C
 Page 9

HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stor	age Storage D	escription	
#1	139.00'	1,09	7 cf Cell B (Ea	astern) (Pri	smatic)Listed below (Recalc)
Elevation (feet 139.0	n Su :) 0	urf.Area (sq-ft) 350	Inc.Store (cubic-feet) 0	Cum.Stor (cubic-fee	e t <u>)</u> 0
140.0 140.5	0 0	849 1,140	600 497	60 1,09	0 7
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices		
#1	Primary	140.50'	<b>5.5' long (Prof</b> Head (feet) 0.4	<b>ile 30) Bro</b> a 9 0.98 1.4	ad-Crested Rectangular Weir 8 3 86
#2	Discarded	139.00'	1.020 in/hr Exf	iltration ov	er Surface area
Discarde	ed OutFlow	Max=0.0 cfs	@ 18.09 hrs HV	V=139.44'	(Free Discharge)

**2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.0 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.0 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=139.00' (Free Discharge) ☐ 1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir( Controls 0.0 cfs)

#### Summary for Link DP10.14: Wetland 3\_Vernal Pool 1

Inflow A	Area =	6.987 ac, 2	1.67% Impervi	ious, Inflow	Depth = $0.00$	for 2-yr	event
Inflow	=	0.0 cfs @	23.20 hrs, V	olume=	0.002 af		
Primary	/ =	0.0 cfs @	23.20 hrs, V	olume=	0.002 af, <i>1</i>	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_FullType III 24-hr10-yr Rainfall=5.10"Prepared by VHBPrinted12/1/2020HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 10

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentPR10.14A:Runoff Area=4.071 ac 13.44% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.26"<br/>Flow Length=123' Tc=19.0 min CN=40 Runoff=0.2 cfs 0.087 afSubcatchmentPR10.14B:Runoff Area=2.916 ac 33.15% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.09"<br/>Flow Length=664' Tc=11.9 min CN=56 Runoff=2.5 cfs 0.266 af<br/>Discarded=0.0 cfs 0.070 af Primary=2.1 cfs 0.196 af Outflow=2.1 cfs 0.266 af

Pond PR10.14B: B: Landham Road BMP: Cell Peak Elev=140.67' Storage=1,097 cf Inflow=2.1 cfs 0.196 af Discarded=0.0 cfs 0.052 af Primary=1.5 cfs 0.032 af Outflow=1.5 cfs 0.084 af

Inflow=1.6 cfs 0.120 af Primary=1.6 cfs 0.120 af

Link DP10.14: Wetland 3\_Vernal Pool 1

Total Runoff Area = 6.987 ac Runoff Volume = 0.353 af Average Runoff Depth = 0.61" 78.33% Pervious = 5.473 ac 21.67% Impervious = 1.514 ac PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_FullType III 24-hr10-yr Rainfall=5.10"Prepared by VHBPrinted12/1/2020HydroCAD® 10.10-3as/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 11

#### Summary for Subcatchment PR10.14A:

Runoff = 0.2 cfs @ 12.62 hrs, Volume= 0.087 af, Depth= 0.26"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=5.10"

 Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
0.	391	39	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG A
0.	031	30	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GA
0.	547	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG A	
 3.	101	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A	
 4.	071	40	Weig	hted Aver	age	
3.	524		86.5	6% Pervio	us Area	
0.	547		13.4	4% Imperv	ious Area	
Tc	Lengt	h -	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
 (min)	(feet	:)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
17.1	5	0 0	.0340	0.05		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.30"
1.9	7	30	.0658	0.64		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps
19.0	12	3 T	otal			

#### Summary for Subcatchment PR10.14B:

Runoff = 2.5 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.266 af, Depth= 1.09"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=5.10"

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription					
0.	872	39 >75	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG A			
0.	044	76 Gra	vel roads, l	HSG A				
0.	135	30 Mea	adow, non-grazed, HSG A					
0.	967	98 Pav	red parking, HSG A					
0.	898	<u>30 Wo</u>	ods, Good,	HSG A				
2.	916	56 Wei	ghted Aver	rage				
1.	950	66.8	35% Pervio	us Area				
0.	967	33.′	5% Imperv	vious Area				
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
4.7	50	0.2120	0.18		Sheet Flow,			
0.1	11	0.3270	2.86		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30" <b>Shallow Concentrated Flow,</b> Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps			
7.1	603	0.0090	1.42		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
					Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps			
11.9	664	Total						

#### Summary for Pond PR10.14B: A: Landham Road BMP: Cell A

Inflow Area	a =	2.916 ac, 33	3.15% Impe	rvious, Inf	flow Depth =	1.09"	' for	10-yr	event	
Inflow	=	2.5 cfs @	12.19 hrs,	Volume=	0.266	af				
Outflow	=	2.1 cfs @	12.30 hrs,	Volume=	0.266	af, A	tten=	15%,	Lag= 6.3 m	in
Discarded	=	0.0 cfs @	12.30 hrs,	Volume=	0.070	af				
Primary	=	2.1 cfs @	12.30 hrs,	Volume=	0.196	af				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 141.67' @ 12.30 hrs Surf.Area= 1,650 sf Storage= 1,754 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 154.5 min calculated for 0.266 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 154.6 min (1,047.2 - 892.6)

Volume	Invei	rt Avail.Sto	rage Storag	ge Description	
#1	140.00	)' 2,30	63 cf Cell A	(West) (Prismatic)Listed below (Recalc)	
Elevatio (fee	on S et)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
140.0 141.0 141.5 142.0	00 00 50 00	533 1,148 1,460 2,023	0 841 652 871	0 841 1,493 2,363	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devic	ces	
#1	Primary	141.50'	8.0' long (P Head (feet) Coef. (Englis	Profile 30) Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir 0.49 0.98 1.48 ish) 3.80 3.86 3.86	
#2	Discarded	140.00'	1.020 in/hr	Exfiltration over Surface area	

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.0 cfs @ 12.30 hrs HW=141.67' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.0 cfs)

#### Summary for Pond PR10.14B: B: Landham Road BMP: Cell B

Inflow Area	a =	2.916 ac, 33	3.15% Impe	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	0.80	)" for	10-yr	event	
Inflow	=	2.1 cfs @	12.30 hrs,	Volume	)=	0.196	af		-		
Outflow	=	1.5 cfs @	12.39 hrs,	Volume	)=	0.084	af, A	Atten=	28%,	Lag= 5.7	' min
Discarded	=	0.0 cfs @	12.39 hrs,	Volume	)=	0.052	af			-	
Primary	=	1.5 cfs @	12.39 hrs,	Volume	)=	0.032	af				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 4 Peak Elev= 140.67' @ 12.39 hrs Surf.Area= 1,140 sf Storage= 1,097 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 446.6 min calculated for 0.084 af (43% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 311.0 min (1,201.4 - 890.4) PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_Full Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=5.10" Prepared by VHB Printed 12/1/2020 Page 13

HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Volume Invert Avail.Storage Storage Description Cell B (Eastern) (Prismatic)Listed below (Recalc) #1 139.00' 1,097 cf Surf.Area Inc.Store Cum.Store Elevation (feet) (sq-ft) (cubic-feet) (cubic-feet) 139.00 350 0 0 140.00 849 600 600 140.50 1,140 497 1,097 Routing Invert **Outlet Devices** Device #1 Primary 140.50' 5.5' long (Profile 30) Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir Head (feet) 0.49 0.98 1.48 Coef. (English) 3.80 3.86 3.86 #2 Discarded 139.00' 1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.0 cfs @ 12.39 hrs HW=140.67' (Free Discharge) -2=Exfiltration (Exfiltration Controls 0.0 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=1.5 cfs @ 12.39 hrs HW=140.67' (Free Discharge) -1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 1.5 cfs @ 1.57 fps)

#### Summary for Link DP10.14: Wetland 3\_Vernal Pool 1

Inflow Are	ea =	6.987 ac, 2	1.67% Impervious	, Inflow Depth = 0	.21" for 10-yr event
Inflow	=	1.6 cfs @	12.39 hrs, Volun	ne= 0.120 a	ſ
Primary	=	1.6 cfs @	12.39 hrs, Volun	ne= 0.120 a	f, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_FullType III 24-hr25-yr Rainfall=6.23"Prepared by VHBPrinted12/1/2020HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 14

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentPR10.14A:Runoff Area=4.071 ac 13.44% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.57"<br/>Flow Length=123' Tc=19.0 min CN=40 Runoff=0.9 cfs 0.194 afSubcatchmentPR10.14B:Runoff Area=2.916 ac 33.15% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.73"<br/>Flow Length=664' Tc=11.9 min CN=56 Runoff=4.4 cfs 0.421 af<br/>Discarded=0.0 cfs 0.071 af Primary=4.3 cfs 0.350 af Outflow=4.3 cfs 0.421 afPond PR10.14B: B: Landham Road BMP: Cell Peak Elev=140.65' Storage=1,097 cfInflow=4.3 cfs 0.350 af

Pond PR10.14B: B: Landham Road BMP: Cell Peak Elev=140.65' Storage=1,097 cf Inflow=4.3 cfs 0.350 af Discarded=0.0 cfs 0.052 af Primary=1.3 cfs 0.011 af Outflow=1.3 cfs 0.063 af

Inflow=1.6 cfs 0.205 af Primary=1.6 cfs 0.205 af

Link DP10.14: Wetland 3\_Vernal Pool 1

Total Runoff Area = 6.987 ac Runoff Volume = 0.616 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.06" 78.33% Pervious = 5.473 ac 21.67% Impervious = 1.514 ac PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_FullType III 24-hr25-yr Rainfall=6.23"Prepared by VHBPrinted12/1/2020HydroCAD® 10.10-3as/n 01038© 2020HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 15

#### Summary for Subcatchment PR10.14A:

Runoff = 0.9 cfs @ 12.50 hrs, Volume= 0.194 af, Depth= 0.57"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.23"

	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
	0.	391	39	>75%	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG A
	0.	031	30	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	GA
	0.	547	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG A	
	3.	101	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A	
	4.	071	40	Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	3.	524		86.5	6% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	547		13.4	4% Imperv	/ious Area	
	Тс	Lengt	h	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(	(min)	(fee	t)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	17.1	5	0 0	.0340	0.05		Sheet Flow,
							Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.30"
	1.9	7	3 0	.0658	0.64		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
							Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps
	19.0	12	3 T	otal			

#### Summary for Subcatchment PR10.14B:

Runoff = 4.4 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 0.421 af, Depth= 1.73"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.23"

Area	(ac) (	CN Des	scription		
0.	872	39 >75	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG A
0.	044	76 Gra	vel roads,	HSG A	
0.	135	30 Mea	adow, non-	grazed, HS	GA
0.9	967	98 Pav	ed parking	, HSG A	
0.8	898	<u>30 Wo</u>	ods, Good,	HSG A	
2.9	916	56 We	ighted Avei	rage	
1.9	950	66.	85% Pervio	us Area	
0.9	967	33.	15% Imper	vious Area	
Tc (min)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(11111)	(1661)	0.2420		(015)	Sheet Flow
4.7	50	0.2120	0.10		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
0.1	11	0.3270	2.86		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
7.1	603	0.0090	1.42		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps
11.9	664	Total			

#### Summary for Pond PR10.14B: A: Landham Road BMP: Cell A

Inflow Area	n =	2.916 ac, 33	3.15% Impe	ervious, Inflow De	pth = 1.7	73" for	25-yr event
Inflow	=	4.4 cfs @	12.18 hrs,	Volume=	0.421 af		
Outflow	=	4.3 cfs @	12.21 hrs,	Volume=	0.421 af,	Atten=	2%, Lag= 1.6 min
Discarded	=	0.0 cfs @	12.21 hrs,	Volume=	0.071 af		
Primary	=	4.3 cfs @	12.21 hrs,	Volume=	0.350 af		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 141.77' @ 12.21 hrs Surf.Area= 1,765 sf Storage= 1,930 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 99.2 min calculated for 0.421 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 99.3 min ( 976.1 - 876.8 )

Volume	Inver	t Avail.Sto	rage Storage	e Description	
#1	140.00	)' 2,30	63 cf Cell A (	(West) (Prismatic)Listed below (Recalc)	
Elevatio (fee	on S et)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
140.0 141.0 141.5 142.0	00 00 50 00	533 1,148 1,460 2,023	0 841 652 871	0 841 1,493 2,363	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	es	
#1	Primary	141.50'	8.0' long (Pr Head (feet) ( Coef. (Englis	rofile 30) Broad-Crested Rectangular V 0.49 0.98 1.48 sh) 3.80 3.86 3.86	Neir
#2		140.00	1.020 In/nr E		

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.0 cfs @ 12.21 hrs HW=141.77' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.0 cfs)

#### Summary for Pond PR10.14B: B: Landham Road BMP: Cell B

Inflow Area	a =	2.916 ac, 3	3.15% Impe	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	1.44	l" for	25-yr	event	
Inflow	=	4.3 cfs @	12.21 hrs,	Volume	e=	0.350	af		-		
Outflow	=	1.3 cfs @	12.21 hrs,	Volume	e=	0.063	af, J	Atten=	70%,	Lag= 0.0	min
Discarded	=	0.0 cfs @	12.20 hrs,	Volume	e=	0.052	af				
Primary	=	1.3 cfs @	12.21 hrs,	Volume	e=	0.011	af				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 4 Peak Elev= 140.65' @ 12.21 hrs Surf.Area= 1,140 sf Storage= 1,097 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 616.7 min calculated for 0.063 af (18% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 475.1 min (1,349.6 - 874.5) PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_Full Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.23" Prepared by VHB Printed 12/1/2020

Page 17

HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Volume Invert Avail.Storage Storage Description Cell B (Eastern) (Prismatic)Listed below (Recalc) #1 139.00' 1,097 cf Surf.Area Inc.Store Cum.Store Elevation (feet) (sq-ft) (cubic-feet) (cubic-feet) 139.00 350 0 0 140.00 849 600 600 140.50 1,140 497 1,097 Routing Invert **Outlet Devices** Device #1 Primary 140.50' 5.5' long (Profile 30) Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir Head (feet) 0.49 0.98 1.48 Coef. (English) 3.80 3.86 3.86 #2 Discarded 139.00' 1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.0 cfs @ 12.20 hrs HW=140.65' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.0 cfs)

**Primary OutFlow** Max=1.3 cfs @ 12.21 hrs HW=140.65' (Free Discharge) **1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir** (Weir Controls 1.3 cfs @ 1.49 fps)

#### Summary for Link DP10.14: Wetland 3\_Vernal Pool 1

Inflow Ar	ea =	6.987 ac, 2 <sup>-</sup>	1.67% Imperviou	is, Inflow Depth =	0.35	5" for 25-y	/r event
Inflow	=	1.6 cfs @	12.22 hrs, Volu	ime= 0.20	5 af		
Primary	=	1.6 cfs @	12.22 hrs, Volu	ime= 0.20	5 af, <i>1</i>	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_FullType III 24-hr100-yr Rainfall=8.60"Prepared by VHBPrinted12/1/2020HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 18

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

SubcatchmentPR10.14A:Runoff Area=4.071 ac 13.44% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.52"<br/>Flow Length=123' Tc=19.0 min CN=40 Runoff=3.8 cfs 0.516 afSubcatchmentPR10.14B:Runoff Area=2.916 ac 33.15% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.32"<br/>Flow Length=664' Tc=11.9 min CN=56 Runoff=9.1 cfs 0.807 af<br/>Discarded=0.0 cfs 0.075 af Primary=8.9 cfs 0.732 af Outflow=9.0 cfs 0.807 af

Pond PR10.14B: B: Landham Road BMP: Cell Peak Elev=141.03' Storage=1,097 cf Inflow=8.9 cfs 0.732 af Discarded=0.0 cfs 0.053 af Primary=8.1 cfs 0.316 af Outflow=8.2 cfs 0.369 af

Inflow=11.0 cfs 0.832 af Primary=11.0 cfs 0.832 af

Link DP10.14: Wetland 3\_Vernal Pool 1

Total Runoff Area = 6.987 ac Runoff Volume = 1.323 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.27" 78.33% Pervious = 5.473 ac 21.67% Impervious = 1.514 ac PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_FullType III 24-hr100-yr Rainfall=8.60"Prepared by VHBPrinted12/1/2020HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 19

#### Summary for Subcatchment PR10.14A:

Runoff = 3.8 cfs @ 12.33 hrs, Volume= 0.516 af, Depth= 1.52"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=8.60"

 Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
0.	391	39	>75%	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG A
0.	031	30	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GA
0.	547	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG A	
 3.	101	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A	
 4.	071	40	Weig	hted Aver	age	
3.	524		86.5	6% Pervio	us Area	
0.	547		13.4	4% Imperv	ious Area	
Tc	Lengt	h -	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
 (min)	(feet	:)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
17.1	5	0 0	.0340	0.05		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.30"
1.9	7	30	.0658	0.64		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps
19.0	12	3 T	otal			

#### Summary for Subcatchment PR10.14B:

Runoff = 9.1 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.807 af, Depth= 3.32"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=8.60"

Area	(ac) (	CN Des	scription		
0.	872	39 >75	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG A
0.	044	76 Gra	vel roads,	HSG A	
0.	135	30 Mea	adow, non-	grazed, HS	GA
0.9	967	98 Pa\	ed parking	, HSG A	
0.8	898	<u>30 Wo</u>	ods, Good,	HSG A	
2.9	916	56 We	ighted Avei	rage	
1.9	950	66.	85% Pervio	us Area	
0.9	967	33.	15% Imper	vious Area	
Tc (min)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(11111)	(1661)	0.2420		(015)	Sheet Flow
4.7	50	0.2120	0.10		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
0.1	11	0.3270	2.86		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps
7.1	603	0.0090	1.42		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps
11.9	664	Total			

#### Summary for Pond PR10.14B: A: Landham Road BMP: Cell A

Inflow Area	a = 2	2.916 ac, 33	3.15% Impe	ervious, Infle	ow Depth =	3.32	" for	100-yr even	t
Inflow	=	9.1 cfs @	12.17 hrs,	Volume=	0.807	' af			
Outflow	=	9.0 cfs @	12.19 hrs,	Volume=	0.807	′ af, <i>1</i>	Atten=	1%, Lag= 1.	1 min
Discarded	=	0.0 cfs @	12.19 hrs,	Volume=	0.075	5 af		-	
Primary	=	8.9 cfs @	12.19 hrs,	Volume=	0.732	2 af			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 141.94' @ 12.19 hrs Surf.Area= 1,957 sf Storage= 2,247 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 54.2 min calculated for 0.806 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 54.3 min (911.0 - 856.6)

Volume	Inver	t Avail.Sto	rage Storag	ge Description	
#1	140.00	)' 2,36	63 cf Cell A	A (West) (Prismatic)Listed below (Recalc)	
Elevation (feet	n S t)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
140.00 141.00 141.50 142.00	0 0 0 0	533 1,148 1,460 2,023	0 841 652 871	0 841 1,493 2,363	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devic	ces	
#1	Primary	141.50'	8.0' long (F Head (feet) Coef. (Engli	Profile 30) Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir 0.49 0.98 1.48 lish) 3.80 3.86 3.86	
#2	Discardeo	140.00'	1.020 in/hr	Exfiltration over Surface area	

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.0 cfs @ 12.19 hrs HW=141.94' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.0 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=8.9 cfs @ 12.19 hrs HW=141.94' (Free Discharge) ←1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 8.9 cfs @ 2.53 fps)

#### Summary for Pond PR10.14B: B: Landham Road BMP: Cell B

Inflow Area	1 = 2	2.916 ac, 33	3.15% Impe	rvious, Inflow D	epth = 3.0	01" for 100	-yr event
Inflow	=	8.9 cfs @	12.19 hrs,	Volume=	0.732 af		
Outflow	=	8.2 cfs @	12.19 hrs,	Volume=	0.369 af,	Atten= 9%,	Lag= 0.0 min
Discarded	=	0.0 cfs @	11.98 hrs,	Volume=	0.053 af		
Primary	=	8.1 cfs @	12.19 hrs,	Volume=	0.316 af		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 4 Peak Elev= 141.03' @ 12.19 hrs Surf.Area= 1,140 sf Storage= 1,097 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 110.0 min calculated for 0.369 af (50% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow) PR\_Segment\_9-10\_ResponsetoComments\_REV\_Full Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=8.60" Prepared by VHB Printed 12/1/2020 Page 21

HydroCAD® 10.10-3a s/n 01038 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Volume	Inve	rt Avail.Sto	rage Storage	e Description	
#1	139.0	0' 1,09	97 cf Cell B	(Eastern) (Prism	atic)Listed below (Recalc)
Elevatio (fee	n s t)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
139.0 140.0 140.5	0 0 0	350 849 1,140	0 600 497	0 600 1,097	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	es	
#1	Primary	140.50'	5.5' long (P Head (feet) Coef. (Englis	rofile 30) Broad 0.49 0.98 1.48 h) 3.80 3.86 3.8	Crested Rectangular Weir
#2	Discarde	d 139.00'	1.020 in/hr E	Exfiltration over	Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.0 cfs @ 11.98 hrs HW=140.71' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.0 cfs)

**Primary OutFlow** Max=8.1 cfs @ 12.19 hrs HW=141.03' (Free Discharge) **1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir** (Weir Controls 8.1 cfs @ 2.78 fps)

#### Summary for Link DP10.14: Wetland 3\_Vernal Pool 1

Inflow Are	a =	6.987 ac, 27	1.67% Impe	rvious, Inflow	Depth = 1.43"	for 100-yr event
Inflow	=	11.0 cfs @	12.22 hrs,	Volume=	0.832 af	
Primary	=	11.0 cfs @	12.22 hrs,	Volume=	0.832 af, Att	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

# **EVERSOURCE PLANS - WATERSHED 9.1**



![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS LEGEND		
DESCRIPTION	HATCH	DESCRIPTION
SOLATED VEGETATED WETLAND		JRBANCE TO WPA 200' RIVERFRONT AREA (WPA 200' RA)
ORDERING VEGETATED WETLAND	DISTU	JRBANCE TO SUDBURY 200' RIVERFRONT AREA (SUD 200
AND UNDER WATER	DISTL	JRBANCE TO WPA 100' RIVERFRONT AREA (WPA 100' RA)
/ERNAL POOL	DISTL	JRBANCE TO SUDBURY 100' RIVERFRONT AREA (SUD 100
PERMANENT DISTURBANCE TO VEGETATED WETLAND	DISTL	JRBANCE TO 100' BUFFER ZONE (100' BZ-AURA)
EMPORARY DISTURBANCE TO VEGETATED WETLAND	DISTL	JRBANCE TO BLSF
EMPORARY DISTURBANCE TO LAND UNDER WATER (LUW)		ING TREE LINE
EMPORARY DISTURBANCE TO BANK	— — — LIMIT	OF GRADING
DISTURBANCE TO 100' VERNAL POOL BUFFER ZONE (100' VP BZ)		

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_4.jpeg)

FLOOD PLAIN

NO IMPACTS

![](_page_53_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_6.jpeg)

# **EVERSOURCE PLANS - WATERSHED 10.14**

![](_page_55_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_7.jpeg)

# **DCR PLANS - WATERSHED 9.1**

![](_page_60_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **DCR PLANS - WATERSHED 10.14**

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_0.jpeg)

MASS CENTRAL RAIL TRAIL
HUDSON, STOW, MARLBOROUGH & SUDBURY,