

October 19, 2018

Daniel Nason Director of Public Works Department of Public Works Building 275 Old Lancaster Road Sudbury, MA 01766

RE: Melone Residential

Traffic Mitigation Memorandum North Road, Sudbury, Massachusetts

Dear Mr. Nason:

McMahon Associates has prepared this summary memorandum to list possible traffic mitigation items to consider as part of the proposed residential development to be located on the Melone property on North Road (Route 117) in Sudbury, MA. These items are based on our Traffic Impact Study, dated October 2018, as well as coordination with Town of Sudbury officials on current areas of concern along the North Road (Route 117) corridor.

Overall recommendations

- Have the Proponent complete a traffic monitoring program (suggestion: 1 year out from a substantial occupancy rate) to document and review traffic conditions at the study area intersections. The data collected as part of the monitoring would be used to assess the impacts of the project and to determine if the mitigation included as part of the project is adequate or if further review or additional mitigation will be required.
- The Town may want to request the construction of a sidewalk on North Road to connect to the site to Davis Fields (or contribute to a fair share monetary donation).
- Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies should be employed by the project to offset single occupancy vehicle trips to/from the site. An example is providing such bike racks or secure bike locker storage areas on site.
- The project should construct a sidewalk on site to connect to North Road (Route 117), and allow a safe area for a school bus stop.
- The project could fund a corridor study on Route 117 through the Towns of Sudbury, Concord and Lincoln to study existing deficiencies, safety and identify possible short and long term solutions to improve traffic congestion along the corridor.

McMAHON ASSOCIATES 350 Myles Standish Blvd., Suite 103 Taunton, MA 02780 p 508-823-2245 | f 508-823-2246

PRINCIPALS

Joseph W. McMahon, P.E.
Joseph J. DeSantis, P.E., PTOE
John S. DePalma
William T. Steffens
Casey A. Moore, P.E.
Gary R. McNaughton, P.E., PTOE

ASSOCIATES

John J. Mitchell, P.E.
Christopher J. Williams, P.E.
R. Trent Ebersole, P.E.
Matthew M. Kozsuch, P.E.
Maureen Chlebek, P.E., PTOE
Dean A. Carr, P.E.

In addition to the overall project recommendations, the following specific traffic mitigation items can be considered for the following individual intersections along the Route 117 corridor.

North Road (Route 117) at Mossman Rd/Powder Mill Rd

- Short term signage and striping improvements could be implemented such as new stop lines and crosswalk lines and signs.
- Although the impacts from the proposed development at this intersection are limited, we recommend this intersection be evaluated for a future traffic signal with the Town to address existing operational issues as it currently meets warrants for signalization. The Proponent should work with the Town of Sudbury to make a fair share contribution to future improvements at this intersection.

North Road (Route 117) at Dakin Rd/Pantry Rd

- The existing traffic signal loops and their operation at the intersection of North Road at Dakin Road/Pantry Road should be evaluated. There may be a need to upgrade the vehicle detection at this signalized intersection as part of the residential project.
- The Proponent should implement revised traffic signal timings to offset slight increases in vehicle delays expected to result from the proposed development. Short-term signage and striping improvements to address existing safety deficiencies at this intersection should also be considered, such as installation of yield pavement markings or signal backplates. Pedestrian signal improvements and adaptive signal control can also be considered as a long term improvement to traffic operations.

North Road (Route 117) at Davis Fields

- Although this intersection was not studied as part of the Traffic Impact Study, the Town of Sudbury has concerns with traffic operations at this intersection during peak times when the field is in use (weekday afternoon and weekends). Turn lanes may be a possible solution to reduce congestion at this intersection during peak usage by minimizing delays for North Road through volumes, but turn lanes would require roadway widening. The Town could consider a fair share monetary contribution for this improvement.

North Road (Route 117) at 144 North Road

 Although this intersection was not studied as part of the Traffic Impact Study, the Town of Sudbury has concerns with traffic operations at this intersection during corridor peak times.
 Turn lanes may be a possible solution to reduce congestion at this intersection during peak usage and to minimize delays for North Road through volumes. Turn lanes would require roadway widening. The Town could consider a fair share monetary contribution for this improvement.

North Road (Route 117) at Melone Site Driveway

- Proposed operations for the primary site driveway appear to be adequate during peak periods. Based on the projected site volumes, turn lanes are not necessarily required, but may be desired by the Town of Sudbury to minimize disruption to the North Road corridor.
- We recommend that safe sight lines be provided for the driveways and recommend vegetation removal and/or landscaping as required to maintain safe sight lines. In addition, an intersection warning sign (W2-2) should also be installed on North Road in both directions to warn motorists of turning vehicles ahead.
- A traffic signal is not warranted at this intersection based on the site traffic projections at this time. The intersection should be included in the traffic monitoring program and if the future volumes coming from the site exceed the thresholds for traffic signal warrants, the Proponent should fund the installation of a new traffic signal.

North Road (Route 117) at Plainfield Road - Concord, MA

- Although this intersection was not studied as part of the Traffic Impact Study, the Town of Sudbury has concerns with traffic operations at this intersection during corridor peak times as it is a potential cut-through to Sudbury Road. Short term signage and striping improvements and vegetation trimming to improve sight lines can be considered as part of the project. Future long term roadway widening improvements may be considered in coordination with the Town of Concord. Turn lanes may be a possibility to reduce congestion at this intersection during peak usage while minimizing delays for the Route 117 corridor, but turn lanes would require roadway widening. Cut-through concerns could also be addressed if long term traffic signal improvements are considered at the Fitchburg Turnpike (Route 117) and Sudbury Road intersection.
- The Town could consider a fair share monetary contribution for the turn lane improvement.

Fitchburg Turnpike (Route 117) at Sudbury Road – Concord, MA

- This intersection had the highest occurrence of crashes out of all the study area intersections, however, the crash rate is still slightly under the average MassDOT crash rates. The majority of crashes are angle collisions, likely because of the lack of protected turning movements.
- At the intersection of Fitchburg Turnpike at Sudbury Rd, the Proponent should implement revised traffic signal timing or phasing to offset slight increases in vehicle delays from the proposed development. Short term signage and striping improvements could be implemented, such as yield pavement markings for the channelized left turns and new backplates at the signal.
- The Town of Concord may want to consider a fair share monetary contribution to address a long-term signal upgrade at this intersection, which could include adaptive signal control.

S Great Road (Route 117) and Concord Road (Route 126)

- Although this intersection was not studied as part of the Traffic Impact Study, the Town of Sudbury has concerns with traffic operations at this intersection during peak times as it

currently presents a pinch point. Short term traffic signal timing and phasing improvements can be considered and a long term improvement project may need to be considered to upgrade the intersection to current standards in coordination with the Town of Concord. The Town of Concord may want to consider a fair share monetary contribution to address a long-term signal upgrade at this intersection, which could include adaptive signal control.

We hope this comprehensive list of potential traffic mitigation items assists the Town of Sudbury with their review of the proposed project.

Please do not hesitate to contact us with questions.

Very truly yours,

Colleen Medeiros, P.E.

Colleen Medeiros

Project Manager



Concept Estimate

Project: Melone Site **Location:** Subdury, MA **Date:** 11/20/2018

U	veral	ΙK	leco	nm	enc	lat	ions

Item Bituminous Sidewalk	Quantity 1500	<u>Unit</u> SF	\$	<u>Cost</u> 7.11	<u>F</u> \$	Rounded Cost 8.00 Contingency Total	\$ 25% \$ \$	Total 12,000.00 3,000.00 15,000.00	SAY \$	15,000.00
Route 117 at Mossman Rd/Powder Mill Rd										
<u>Item</u>	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		Cost	F	Rounded Cost		<u>Total</u>		
Warning - Regulatory + Route marker - Alum. Pane (type a)	66	SF	\$	12.00	\$	12.00	\$	792.00		
Sign Sup (N/Guide)+Rte Mkr W/1 Brkway	6	EA	\$	125.00	\$	125.00	ď.	750.00		
Post Assembly - Steel	2501	LF	\$	5.00	\$	5.00	\$ \$	750.00 12,505.00		
Pavement Marking Lines Traffic Signal Upgrade	1	LS		200,000.00	\$	200,000.00	\$	200,000.00		
Traine Signal Opgrade	1	L3	Ф	200,000.00	Ф	Contingency	25% \$	53,511.75		
						Total	\$	267,558.75	SAY \$	270,000.00
Route 117 at Dakin Rd/Pantry Rd						10111	Ψ	207,000.70	<u>σπι</u> ψ	270,000.00
Item	Quantity	Unit		Cost	F	Rounded Cost		Total		
Warning - Regulatory + Route marker -		· · ·	Φ				.	·		
Alum. Pane (type a)	36	SF	\$	12.00	\$	12.00	\$	432.00		
Sign Sup (N/Guide)+Rte Mkr W/1 Brkway Post Assembly - Steel	4	EA	\$	125.00	\$	125.00	\$	500.00		
Loop Detector Lead In	16	LF	\$	7.00	\$	7.00	\$	112.00		
Pavement Marking Lines	2641	LF	\$	5.00	\$	5.00	\$	13,205.00		
Adaptive Signal Control upgrade	1	LS	\$	80,000.00	\$	80,000.00	\$	80,000.00		
Pedestrian Signal	1	LS	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000.00		
						Contingency	25% \$	26,062.25		
						Total	\$	130,311.25	SAY \$	131,000.00
Route 117 at Davis Fields										
<u>Item</u>	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		Cost	F	Rounded Cost		<u>Total</u>		
Full depth	4800	SF	\$	14.38	\$	15.00	\$	72,000.00		
Pavement Micromilling and Overlay	9600	SF	\$	2.44	\$	3.00	\$	28,800.00		
Pavement Marking Lines	1200	LF	\$	5.00	\$	5.00	\$	6,000.00		
Utility Pole Relocation	2	EA	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	50,000.00		
Guy wire	1	EA	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00		
						Contingency	25% \$	40,450.00	_	
						Total	\$	202,250.00	SAY \$	203,000.00
Route 117 at 144 North Rd										
<u>Item</u>	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		Cost	F	Rounded Cost		<u>Total</u>		
Full depth	4800	SF	\$	14.38	\$	15.00	\$	72,000.00		
Pavement Micromilling and Overlay	9600	SF	\$	2.44	\$	3.00	\$	28,800.00		
Pavement Marking Lines	1200	LF	\$	5.00	\$	5.00	\$	6,000.00		
Utility Pole Relocation	2	EA	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	50,000.00		
						Contingency	25% \$	39,200.00		
						Total	\$	196,000.00	SAY \$	196,000.00

Route 117 at Melone Site Driveway										
<u>Item</u>	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		Cost	R	ounded Cost		<u>Total</u>		
Warning - Regulatory + Route marker -	18	SF	\$	12.00	\$	12.00	\$	216.00		
Alum. Pane (type a)	10	31	Ф	12.00	Ф	12.00	Ф	210.00		
Sign Sup (N/Guide)+Rte Mkr W/1 Brkway	2	EA	\$	125.00	\$	125.00	\$	250.00		
Post Assembly - Steel	2	LA	Ф	123.00	Ф	123.00	Ф	230.00		
Clear vegetation	1	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$	2,000.00	\$	2,000.00		
Full depth	4800	SF	\$	14.38	\$	15.00	\$	72,000.00		
Pavement Micromilling and Overlay	9600	SF	\$	2.44	\$	3.00	\$	28,800.00		
Pavement Marking Lines	1200	LF	\$	5.00	\$	5.00	\$	6,000.00		
Utility Pole Relocation	3	EA	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	75,000.00		
						Contingency	25% \$	46,066.50		
						Total	\$	230,332.50	SAY \$	231,000.00
Route 117 at Plainfield Rd										
<u>Item</u>	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		Cost	R	ounded Cost		<u>Total</u>		
Warning - Regulatory + Route marker - Alum. Pane (type a)	18	SF	\$	12.00	\$	12.00	\$	216.00		
Sign Sup (N/Guide)+Rte Mkr W/1 Brkway Post Assembly - Steel	2	EA	\$	125.00	\$	125.00	\$	250.00		
Tree Triming	3	EA	\$	300.00	\$	300.00	\$	900.00		
Clearing and grubbing	1	LS	\$	301.00	\$	301.00	\$	301.00		
Full depth	4800	SF	\$	14.38	\$	15.00	\$	72,000.00		
Pavement Micromilling and Overlay	9600	SF	\$	2.44	\$	3.00	\$	28,800.00		
Pavement Marking Lines	1200	LF	\$	5.00	\$	5.00	\$	6,000.00		
Utility Pole Relocation	3	EA	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	75,000.00		
•						Contingency	25% \$	45,866.75		
						Total	\$	229,333.75	SAY \$	230,000.00
Route 117 at Subdury Rd										
<u>Item</u>	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		Cost	R	ounded Cost		<u>Total</u>		
Warning - Regulatory + Route marker - Alum. Pane (type a)	81	SF	\$	12.00	\$	12.00	\$	972.00		
Sign Sup (N/Guide)+Rte Mkr W/1 Brkway Post Assembly - Steel	6	EA	\$	125.00	\$	125.00	\$	750.00		
Pavement Marking Lines	2935	LF	\$	5.00	\$	5.00	\$	14,675.00		
Traffic Signal Upgrade	1	LS	\$	200,000.00	\$	200,000.00	\$	200,000.00		
						Contingency	25% \$	54,099.25		
						Total	\$	270,496.25	SAY \$	271,000.00
Route 117 at Concord Rd										
<u>Item</u>	Ouantity	Unit		Cost	R	ounded Cost		<u>Total</u>		
Traffic Signal Upgrade	1	LS	\$	200,000.00	\$	200,000.00	\$	200,000.00		
						Contingency	25% \$	50,000.00		
						Total	\$	250,000.00	SAY \$	250,000.00
								m . 1	٨	1 505 000 00
								<u>Total</u>	\$	1,797,000.00
								SAY	\$	1,797,000.00



Concept Estimate Back Up Quantities

Project:Melone SiteLocation:Subdury, MADate:11/20/2018

Overall	Recommendations
---------	-----------------

Item Bituminous Sidewalk	Quantity 1500	SF	$\label{eq:sidewalk} Assume 5 \ \text{ft wide bituminous sidewalk times sidewalk length of } 300 \ \text{ft on site to connect to North Road.}$
Route 117 at Mossman Rd/Powder Mill	Rd		
Item	Quantity		
Warning - Regulatory + Route marker - Alum. Pane (type a)	66	SF	Assume 6 (W11-2,W16-9P, W16-7p)
Sign Sup (N/Guide)+Rte Mkr W/1 Brkway Post Assembly - Steel	6	EA	Assume 6 sign post
Pavement Marking Lines	2501	LF	Assume 200 ft restriping from the intersecton per pavement marking stripe per legs 4 X (400 ft SWL +200 ft SDYL) + 1 X (36 SL + 65 CW)
Route 117 at Dakin Rd/Pantry Rd			
<u>Item</u>	Quantity		
Warning - Regulatory + Route marker - Alum. Pane (type a)	36	SF	4 way intersection Assumed Misceleanous sings 36 sf
Sign Sup (N/Guide)+Rte Mkr W/1 Brkway Post Assembly - Steel	4	EA	Assume 4 sign post
Pedestrian Signal	16	EA	Assume 4 loop per lane
Pavement Marking Lines	2641	LF	Assume 200 ft restriping from the intersecton per pavement marking stripe per legs $4 \times (400 \text{ ft SWL} + 200 \text{ ft SDYL}) + 1 \times (45 \text{ SL} + 196 \text{ CW})$
Pedesrian Signal	1	LS	See pedestrian crossing signal summary sheet for lump sum price
Route 117 at Davis Fields			
<u>Item</u>	Quantity		
Full depth	4800	SF	Assume 4800 SF road widening to accommodate a 24 ft wide and 200 ft long left turning lane
Pavement Micromilling and Overlay	9600	SF	Assume 9600 SF of micromilling. 2 X (24 ft wide * 200 ft long)
Pavement Marking Lines	1200	LF	Assume 200 ft restriping from the intersecton per pavement marking stripe per legs 2X(400 ft SWL +200 ft SDYL)
Utility pole relocation	2	EA	200 ft widening assumption requires relocation of 2 Utility poles on the E.B approach
Guy wire	1	EA	Assume one guy wire for utility pole at new location
Route 117 at 144 North Rd			
<u>Item</u>	Quantity	O.E.	4000 CT
Full depth	4800	SF	Assume 4800 SF road widening to accommodate a 24 ft wide and 200 ft long left turning lane
Pavement Micromilling and Overlay	9600	SF	Assume 9600 SF of micromilling. 2 X (24 ft wide * 200 ft long)
Pavement Marking Lines	1200	LF	Assume 200 ft restriping from the intersecton per pavement marking stripe per legs $2X(400 \text{ ft SWL} + 200 \text{ ft SDYL})$
Utility pole relocation	2	EA	200 ft widening assumption requires relocation of 2 Utility poles near the intersection

Route 117 at Melone Site Dw			
<u>Item</u> Warning - Regulatory + Route marker -	Quantity		
Alum. Pane (type a)	18	SF	Assume 2 (W2-2) 3 way intersection warnign signs
Sign Sup (N/Guide)+Rte Mkr W/1 Brkway Post Assembly - Steel	2	EA	Assume 2 sign post
Pavement Marking Lines	1200	LF	Assume 200 ft restriping from the intersecton per pavement marking stripe per legs $2X(400 \text{ ft SWL} + 200 \text{ ft SDYL})$
Full depth	4800	SF	Assume 4800 SF road widening to accommodate a 24 ft wide and 200 ft long left turning lane
Pavement Micromilling and Overlay	9600	SF	Assume 9600 SF of micromilling. 2 X (24 ft wide * 200 ft long)
Pavement Marking Lines	1200	LF	Assume 200 ft restriping from the intersecton per pavement marking stripe per legs 2X(400 ft SWL +200 ft SDYL)
* Utility pole relocation	3	EA	* 200 ft widening assumption requires potential relocation of 3 Utility poles near site driveway. However, All widening may be accomplish onto the melone development site, if so utility pole relocation may not be necessary.
Route 117 at Plainfield Rd			
<u>Item</u>	Quantity		
Warning - Regulatory + Route marker - Alum. Pane (type a)	18	SF	Assume 2 (W2-2) Signs - 3 way intersection warnign signs
Sign Sup (N/Guide)+Rte Mkr W/1 Brkway Post Assembly - Steel	2	EA	Assume 2 sign post
Pavement Marking Lines	1200	LF	Assume 200 ft restriping from the intersecton per pavement marking stripe per legs 2X(400 ft SWL +200 ft SDYL)
Tree Triming	3	EA	About 3 trees needs to be cut to improve sight distance
Full depth	4800	SF	Assume 4800 SF road widening to accommodate a 24 ft wide and 200 ft long left turning lane
Pavement Micromilling and Overlay	9600	SF	Assume 9600 SF of micromilling. 2 X (24 ft wide * 200 ft long)
Pavement Marking Lines	1200	LF	Assume 200 ft restriping from the intersecton per pavement marking stripe per legs 2X(400 ft SWL +200 ft SDYL)
Utility pole relocation	3	EA	200 ft widening assumption requires relocation of 3 Utility poles at the vicinity of the intersection
Route 117 at Subdury Rd			
<u>Item</u>	Quantity		
Warning - Regulatory + Route marker - Alum. Pane (type a)	81	SF	Assume 3 (R3-7) signs - left lane must turn left + 27sf of miscleneous signs
Sign Sup (N/Guide)+Rte Mkr W/1 Brkway Post Assembly - Steel	6	EA	Assume 2 sign post
Pavement Marking Lines	2935	LF	Assume 200 ft restriping from the intersecton per pavement marking stripe per legs $4X(400\text{ft}\text{SWL}+100\text{ft}\text{SWLL}+200\text{ft}\text{SDYL})+1X(135\text{SL})$
Traffic signal upgrade	1	LS	Assume \$200000.00 for signal upgrade
Route 117 at Concord Rd			
Koute 117 at Concord Rd <u>Item</u>	Quantity		
Traffic Signal Upgrade	1	LS	Assume \$200000.00 for signal upgrade



Concept Estimate Pavement Micromilling and Overlay Unit Cost

Item: Pavement Micromilling and Overlay

Micromilling Depth	2	ΙN
Overlay Depth	2	ΙN
Leveling Depth	1	ΙN
Structures	5	EΑ
Width	46	FΤ
No. HMA Layers	2	

nponents:										Uı	nit Cost	Co	st/SF
Pavement Micromilling: 935.0400	Base Depth	Full Depth 2	Adjustment \$ 1.00	SY/SF 0.1111					Unit Cost \$/SY	\$	4.00	\$	0.44
Asphalt Emulsion for Tack Coat: 403.0300	Length	SF		9	(SY/SF)	X	2	Total (SY) 0.22222	Unit Cost \$/SY	\$	0.50	\$0).11
Class 12.5 HMA leveling: 401.2002	Depth (in)	Convet 0.056	SY/SF 0.1111	T/SF 0.0062216	% Area 50%				Unit Cost	\$	100.00	\$	0.31
Class 9.5 HMA:	Depth (in)	Convert	SY/SF	T/SF					Unit Cost	\$	115.00	\$	1.4
401.3000 Structure Adjustment:	2 Count	0.056 Length	0.1111 Struct/LF	0.0124432 Width	Struct/SF				\$/TON Unit Cost	Ś	380.00	\$	0.1
Structure Flajustment.	5	300	0.01666667	46	0.00036232	ī			\$/EA		2.4		0.1



Concept Estimate Full Depth Pavement Unit Cost

Item: Full Depth Pavement

In	out:

No. HMA Layers	2	
Surface Course Depth	2	ΙN
Intermediate Course Depth	2	ΙN
Base Course Depth	6	ΙN
Crushed Stone	4	ΙN
Gravel Borrow	8	ΙN
Unclassified Excavation	26	ΙN
Structures	0	EΑ
Width	0	FΤ

iponents:										Unit Cost	C	ost/SF
Structural Excavation	Depth (in)	Depth (ft)	Depth (yd)	SY/SF	CY/SF				Unit Cost	\$ 50.00	\$	4.01
Unclassified: 203.0400	26	2.1666667	0.7222222	0.1111	0.0802389				\$/CY	\$ 50.00	Ф	4.01
	Base Depth	Convet	SY/SF	T/SF					Unit Cost			
Class 9.5 HMA: 401.3000	2	0.056	0.1111	0.0124432					\$/TON	\$ 115.00	\$	1.43
Asphalt Emulsion for Tack	Length							Total (SY)	Unit Cost	\$ 0.50	\$	0.11
Coat: 403.0300	1	SF	/	9	(SY/SF)	Х	2	0.22	\$/SY			
GI 48 EVD 44 404 2000	Depth (in)	Convert	SY/SF	T/SF					Unit Cost	4	Φ.	2.10
Class 12.5 HMA: 401.2000	2	0.056	0.1111	0.0124432					\$/TON	\$ 175.00	\$	2.18
	ln ac	<i>c</i> .	CN//CE	T /CT							ı	
Superpave Base Course	Depth (in)	Convert 0.056	SY/SF 0.1111	T/SF 0.0373296					Unit Cost \$/TON	\$ 100.00	\$	3.73
	0	0.056	0.1111	0.0373290					\$/10N			
Crushed Stone: 301.0300	Depth (in)	Depth (ft)	Depth (yd)	SY/SF	CY/SF				Unit Cost	\$ 65.00	\$	0.80
Crustieu Storie. 501.0500	4	0.3333333	0.1111111	0.1111	0.0123444				\$/CY	\$ 05.00	Ψ	0.00
Gravel Borrow:	Depth (in)	Depth (ft)	Depth (yd)	SY/SF	CY/SF				Unit Cost			
202.0800	8	0.6666667	0.2222222	0.1111	0.0246889				\$/CY	\$ 65.00	\$	1.60
									·			
Full Depth Sawcut:	Length							Total (SY)	Unit Cost			
932.0200	1	SF	/	9	(SY/SF)	Х	0	0.22	\$/SY	\$ 2.30	\$	0.51
									-			
									COST/SF	\$		14.38
									SAY	\$		15.00



Concept Estimate Bituminous Sidewalk Unit Cost

Item: Bituminous Sidewalk

No. HMA Layers	2	
Hot Mix Depth	3	ΙN
Gravel Borrow Depth	8	ΙN
Unclassified Excavation Depth	11	IN

nponents:										Uı	nit Cost	Co	st/SF
Structural Excavation	Depth (in)	Depth (ft)	Depth (yd)	SY/SF	CY/SF				Unit Cost	\$	50.00	\$	1.85
Unclassified: 203.0400	12	1	0.3333333	0.1111	0.0370333				\$/CY	Υ .	30.00	<u> </u>	
Gravel Borrow: 202.0800	Depth (in)	Depth (ft)	Depth (yd)	SY/SF	CY/SF				Unit Cost	\$	65.00	\$	1.60
Graver Borrow, 202,0800	8	0.6666667	0.2222222	0.1111	0.0246889				\$/CY	Ş	05.00	Ψ	1.00
Trimming and Fine	SY/SF								Unit Cost	_			0.02
Grading: 204.0100	0.1111								\$/SY	\$	7.50	\$	0.83
	Depth (in)	Convet	SY/SF	T/SF					Unit Cost				
Class 9.5 HMA: 401.3000	1.5	0.056	0.1111	0.0093324					\$/TON	\$	115.00	\$	1.07
Asphalt Emulsion for Tack	Length							Total (SY)	Unit Cost				
Coat: 403.0300	1	SF	/	9	(SY/SF)	Х	2	0.22	\$/SY	\$	0.50	\$	0.11
	Depth (in)	Convet	SY/SF	T/SF					Unit Cost				
Class 12.5 HMA: 401.2000	1.5	0.056	0.1111	0.0093324					\$/TON	\$	175.00	\$	1.63
									•				
									COST/SF	\$			7.11



Concept Estimate Granite Curb Unit Cost

Iten Granite Curb

ΙN	18	Granite Curb Depth
IN	8.5	Cement Conc Curb Lock Depth
IN	8	Gravel Borrow Depth

Components:									Ur	nit Cost	Co	ost/LF
	_							Straight	C	ircular		
Granite Curb, Quarry Split Straight & Circular, Standard 7.3.0: 906.0110, 906.0111	% Curved (assumed)				Ŭ	fnit Cost \$/LF	\$ 55.00	\$	65.00	\$	57.00
Trimming and Fine Grading: 204.0100	SY/SF 0.1111							Unit Cost \$/SY	\$	7.50	\$	0.83
Class XX Portland Cement Concrete: 601.0200	Depth (in) 8.5	Depth (ft) 0.7083333	Width (ft)	Area (SF) 0.3541667	Area (SY) 0.0393519			Unit Cost \$/SY	\$	200.00	\$	7.87
Gravel Borrow: 202.0800	Depth (in)	Depth (ft) 0.6666667	Depth (yd) 0.2222222	SY/SF 0.1111	CY/SF 0.0246889			Unit Cost \$/CY	\$	65.00	\$	1.60
Strucutural Excavation unclassified: 203.0400	Depth (in)	Depth (ft) 2.1666667	Depth (yd) 0.7222222	SY/SF 0.1111	CY/SF 0.0802389			Unit Cost \$/CY	\$	50.00	\$	4.01
								COST/FT	\$			71.32



Concept Estimate Pavement Marking Unit Cost

Item Pavement Marking

12	ΙN
12	ΙN
6	ΙN
6	ΙN

Components:		Unit Cost	C	ost/LF
6 in reflectorized	Unit Cost	\$ 1.00	\$	1.00
thermoplastic white line	\$/FT			
6 in reflectorized thermoplastic yellow line	Unit Cost \$/FT	\$ 3.00	\$	3.00
				<u>_</u>
12 in reflectorized thermoplastic white line	Unit Cost \$/FT	\$ 1.00	\$	1.00
	COST/FT	\$		5.00



Pedestrian Crossing Signal

Description	Unit Cost	Quan	tity	Cost
Ped Pedestals, Bases and Fdn*	\$2,000	2	LS	\$4,000
Pedestrian Signal Head, Single Section (LED)	\$1,200	2	EA	\$2,400
APS Push Button Assembly	\$600	2	EA	\$1,200
Signal Controller Adjustment	\$1,000	1	LS	\$1,000
		Sub	ototal =	\$8,600
	15%	Conting	ency =	\$1,290
			Total =	\$9,890
			SAY	\$10,000